



STATE OF NEW JERSEY
Board of Public Utilities
44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor
Post Office Box 350
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350
www.nj.gov/bpu/

OFFICE OF CLEAN ENERGY
& DIVISION OF ENERGY

- IN THE MATTER OF THE VERIFIED PETITION OF ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY CONCERNING A PROPOSAL FOR AN EXTENDED SREC-BASED FINANCING PROGRAM UNDER N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1 ("SREC II")) ORDER ON RESULTS OF THE FIRST SOLICITATION) DOCKET NO. EO12090799
- IN THE MATTER OF THE VERIFIED PETITION OF JERSEY CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY CONCERNING A PROPOSAL FOR AN SREC-BASED FINANCING PROGRAM UNDER N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1 ("SREC II")) ORDER ON RESULTS OF THE FIRST SOLICITATION) DOCKET NO. EO12080750
- IN THE MATTER OF THE VERIFIED PETITION OF ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY CONCERNING A PROPOSAL FOR AN SREC-BASED FINANCING PROGRAM UNDER N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1 ("SREC II")) ORDER ON RESULTS OF THE FIRST SOLICITATION) DOCKET NO. EO13020118

Parties of Record:

- Philip Passanante, Esq.**, Atlantic City Electric Company
- Greg Eisenstark, Esq.**, Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP for Jersey Central Power and Light Company
- John Carley, Esq.**, Rockland Electric Company
- Stefanie A. Brand, Esq., Director**, New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel
- Dan Bradley**, for Navigant Consulting, Inc.

BY THE BOARD: ¹

BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

By Order dated August 7, 2008 ("August Order")² the Board directed the electric distribution companies ("EDCs"), including Jersey Central Power and Light Company ("JCP&L"), Atlantic

¹ Commissioner Upendra J. Chivukula recused himself due to a potential conflict of interest and as such took no part in the discussion or deliberation of this matter.

City Electric Company ("ACE") and Rockland Electric Company ("RECO") to file a solar renewable energy certificate ("SREC") financing program following certain mandatory design and filing requirements. These programs are referred to as the "SREC I Programs."

By Orders dated March 27, 2009 and July 31, 2009, the Board approved the SREC I Programs. Under the SREC I Programs, periodic solicitations were held for qualifying projects seeking to enter into SREC Purchase and Sale Agreements ("PSAs") with ACE, JCP&L or RECO. The last solicitation under the SREC I Programs was held in September 2011, and the contract awards under that solicitation were approved by the Board in November 2011.

In November 2011, the Board's Office of Clean Energy ("OCE") began a series of stakeholder meetings to develop recommendations related to the expiring SREC I Programs, and address issues raised by recently enacted legislation and by the State's renewable energy goals as expressed in the 2011 Energy Master Plan. By Order dated May 23, 2012, In re Review of Utility Supported Programs, Docket EO11050311V ("May 23 Order"), the Board authorized the extension of the previously approved utility run SREC financing programs with certain modifications for a total capacity of 180 MW over three years, to be divided among the EDCs based on retail sales.

On August 15, 2012, JCP&L filed a petition³ with the Board requesting approval of its "SREC II" solar financing program ("JCP&L Program"), pursuant to the Board's May 23 Order and pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1. JCP&L proposed a 52 megawatt ("MW") program modeled closely on the SREC I Programs.⁴

On September 6, 2012, ACE filed a petition⁵ with the Board requesting approval of its solar financing program ("ACE SREC II Program"), also pursuant to the May 23 Order and N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1. ACE proposed a 23 MW program modeled closely on the SREC I Program as well.

On February 1, 2013, RECO filed a petition with the Board requesting approval of its solar financing program ("RECO SREC II Program"), also pursuant to the May 23 Order and N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1. The RECO SREC II Program was also proposed as a 4.5 MW program substantially similar to the SREC I financing program.⁶

After extensive discovery and discussions, ACE, JCP&L and RECO entered into separate stipulations with Board Staff, the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel") and the Solar Energy Industries Association agreeing to terms of the extensions of the SREC financing programs (collectively, "SREC II Programs"). By Orders dated December 18, 2013, the Board

² In re the Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard: Amendments to the Minimum Filing Requirements for Energy Efficiency, Renewable Energy, and Conservation Programs, and for Electric Distribution Company Submittals of Filings in Connection with Solar Financing, (Docket No. EO06100744).

³ In re Verified Petition of Jersey Central Power & Light Company Concerning a Proposal for an SREC-based Financing Program under N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1, (Docket EO12080750).

⁴ In Re Verified Petition of Jersey Central Power & Light Concerning a Proposal for a SREC-based Financing Program under N.J.S.A. 48:3-98, (Docket EO08090840); In Re Atlantic City Electric Company Renewable Portfolio Standard Amendments to the Minimum Filing Requirements for Energy Efficiency, Renewable Energy and Conservation Programs and for Electric Distribution Company Submittal of Filing in Connection with Solar Financing, (Docket EO08100875).

⁵ In re the Petition of Atlantic City Electric Company Concerning a Proposal for an Extended SREC-based Financing Program Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1, (Docket EO12090799).

⁶ In re the Verified Petition of Rockland Electric Company Concerning a Proposal for an SREC-Based Financing Program Under N.J.S.A.48:3-98.1, (Docket No. EO09020097).

approved each of the stipulations. As part of the design of the SREC II Programs, the EDCs⁷ jointly retain a solicitation manager (“SM”) to run the solicitation process for qualifying applicants, including advertising the availability of the program, establishing a process for bid submittal and ranking the projects once bids are received in any round.

The EDCs chose Navigant Consulting, Inc. (“Navigant”) to serve as SM. Together, they developed a solicitation process, which entailed the issuance of a Request for Proposals (“RFP”) to select solar projects (“Project” or “Projects”) with which to enter into PSAs, based on the proposed price of each Project’s SRECs. Through the RFP process, the EDCs contract for the purchase of SRECs for the 10-year term of the PSA, and not for energy or capacity associated with any Project. The March 9, 2015 RFP was the first of nine solicitations to be issued (“Round One”) under the SREC II Programs.

Navigant, as SM, finalized the terms of the RFP and related documents, and implemented and managed the solicitation process with input from the EDCs. Among the key features of the RFP, in accordance with the May 23 Order, was the pricing requirements. The RFP required pricing in the proposals submitted in response to the RFP to be the same for the entire 10 year term of the PSA. The RFP clearly articulated the following criteria for selection: projects will be ranked by price; prices will be reviewed for competitiveness; an unspecified price limit will be applied; and the full MW solicited may or may not be awarded based on an assessment of the competitiveness of pricing resulting from the solicitation.

DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

The Board has carefully reviewed the recommendations of the SM and Board Staff and **FINDS** that they appropriately address all issues as required by the stipulations approved by the December 2013 Orders. The Board **FURTHER FINDS** that the overall solicitation process was effectively competitive in this instance.

After consideration of the recommendations of the SM and Staff, the Board **ADOPTS** the recommendations of the SM regarding the results of the First Solicitation in the SREC II Programs. The Board **AUTHORIZES** the EDCs to execute PSAs for eight projects with SREC prices deemed competitive. The Board **REJECTS** one proponent’s bid which was deemed to be uncompetitive. The Board **AUTHORIZES** the SM’s proposed implementation of the second solicitation in the SREC II Programs including the revised schedule and additional measures designed to increase participation as detailed in its recommendations.

The results of the First Solicitation, therefore, are as follows:

Segment 1. Residential & Small Commercial (50 kW and below):

- Three bids were received, totaling 85.5 kW
- Two awards were made, totaling 70.68 kW
- One bid was rejected because pricing was found not to be competitive
- The average price per SREC will not be disclosed due to too few distinct price offers.

⁷ As used in the balance of this Order, EDCs refers to JCP&L, ACE and RECO. Public Service Electric and Gas runs its own separate solar financing programs.

Segment 2. Residential & Small Commercial (Greater than 50 kW to 2 MW):

- Six bids were received, totaling 1,161.08 kW
- Six awards were made, totaling 1,161.08 kW
- No bids were rejected

- The average price per SREC will not be disclosed due to too few distinct price offers.


Segment 3. Subsection t. Landfills, Brownfields, or Areas of Historic Fill (Up to 5.2 MW):


- No bids were received


The effective date of this Order is August 1, 2015.

DATED: July 23, 2015


BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
BY:


RICHARD S. MROZ
PRESIDENT



JOSEPH L. FIORDALISO
COMMISSIONER


MARY-ANNA HOLDEN
COMMISSIONER


DIANNE SOLOMON
COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

IRENE KIM ASBURY
SECRETARY

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the within document is a true copy of the original in the files of the Board of Public Utilities



In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Atlantic City Electric Company Concerning a Proposal for an Extended SREC-Based Financing Program Under N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1 ("SREC II"); In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Jersey Central Power & Light Company Concerning a Proposal for an SREC-Based Financing Program Under N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1 ("SREC II"); In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Rockland Electric Company Concerning a Proposal for an SREC-Based Financing Program Under N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1 ("SREC II")

Docket Nos. EO12090799, EO12080750, EO13020118

SERVICE LIST

Philip J. Passanante, Esq. Associate General Counsel Atlantic City Electric Company 92DC42 Post Office Box 6066 Newark, DE 19714-6066	Gregory Eisenstark, Esq. Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP on behalf of JCP&L 120 Albany Street Plaza, 6 th Fl New Brunswick, NJ 08901	John L. Carley, Esq. Assistant General Counsel Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Law Department Room 1815S 4 Irving Place New York, NY 10003
James C. Meyer, Esq. Riker Danzig Scherer Hyland & Perretti LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Post Office Box 1981 Morristown, NJ 07962-8464	Donald Kennedy, Director Customer Energy Services Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. 390 West Route 59 Spring Valley, New York 10977	
David Bradley, Director Navigant 98 San Jacinto Blvd, Suite 900 Austin, TX 78737	David E. Dismukes Acadian Consulting Group 5800 One Perkins Place Drive Building 5, Suite F Baton Rouge, LA 70808	Andrea C. Crane The Columbia Group, Inc. 199 Ethan Allen Highway-2 nd Ridgefield, CT 08677
Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 9 th floor Post Office Box 350 Trenton, NJ 08625-0350		
Jerome May, Director Division of Energy	Alice Bator, Chief Division of Energy	Rachel Boylan, Esq. Counsel's Office
Elizabeth Ackerman Acting Director Division of Economic Development and Energy Policy	Marisa Slaten, Acting Director Division of Economic Development and Energy Policy	Benjamin Scott Hunter Division of Economic Development and Energy Policy
Irene Kim Asbury Secretary of the Board Secretary's Office	Allison E. Mitchell, AAI Division of Economic Development and Energy Policy	Bethany Rocque-Romaine, Esq. Counsel's Office

Division of Rate Counsel 140 East Front Street, 4th Floor Post Office Box 003 Trenton, NJ 08625-0003		
Stefanie A. Brand, Esq., Director	Sarah Steindel, Esq.	Felicia Thomas-Friel, Esq.
Ami Morita, Esq.	James Glassen, Esq.	
Department of Law and Public Safety 124 Halsey Street Post Office Box 45029 Newark, NJ 07101		
Caroline Vachier, DAG Division of Law	Babette Tenzer, DAG Division of Law	Alex Moreau, DAG Division of Law
David Wand, DAG Division of Law	Carolyn McIntosh, DAG Division of Law	
Intervenors		
Howard O. Thompson, Esq. Russo Tumulty Nester Thompson & Kelly, LLP On behalf of NJ Land 240 Cedar Knolls Road, Suite 306. Cedar Knolls, New Jersey 07927	William Potter, Esq. Potter and Dickson On behalf of MSEIA 194 Nassau Street, Princeton, New Jersey 08542	Michael A. Gruin, Esq. Stevens & Lee On behalf of SEIA 17 North Second Street, 16 th floor. Harrisburg, PA 17101