Competitive Solar Incentive (CSI) Program
Stakeholder Meeting #4

June 6, 2022
This is the fourth public stakeholder meeting for the design of the CSI Program under

Docket # QO21101186
Competitive Solar Incentive ("CSI") Program
pursuant to P.L. 2021, C.169

In addition, two stakeholder meetings were held on the Solar Siting Straw Proposal under the same docket
This meeting will focus on the following part of the CSI Straw Proposal released on April 26, 2022:

• Section IV.D - Auction price result
• Section IV.E - SREC-II payment structure
• All attendees will be automatically muted
• Questions? Please use the “Q &A” function in Zoom
• We will address clarifying questions at the end of each section
• Please note that the “Chat” function in Zoom is not available for this meeting
• This meeting is being recorded. A copy of the recording and slides will be made available on the BPU website: https://www.nj.gov/bpu/newsroom/public/
This presentation is provided for informational purposes only and should not be taken to represent the views of the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, its Commissioners, or the State of New Jersey. Please be aware that any information presented is subject to change if there are changes to New Jersey statutes, rules, or policies.

All viewers are responsible for ensuring that they rely only on current legal authority regarding the matters covered in the presentation.
The deadline for comments on the CSI Straw is 5:00 p.m. ET on Monday, June 20, 2022.

Please submit comments directly to Docket No. QO21101186, using the “Post Comments” button on the Board’s Public Document Search tool.

Comments are considered “public documents” for purposes of the State’s Open Public Records Act and any confidential information should be submitted in accordance with the procedures set forth in N.J.A.C. 14:1-12.3.

Written comments may also be submitted to:

Carmen D. Diaz
Acting Secretary of the Board
44 South Clinton Avenue, 1st Floor
Post Office Box 350
Trenton, NJ 08625-0350
Phone: 609-292-1599
Email: board.secretary@bpu.nj.gov
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Today’s Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auction price result</td>
<td>Compare Pay-As-Bid vs Single Clearing Price as mechanism for determining SREC-II awards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SREC-II payment structure</td>
<td>Compare indexed SREC-II and fixed SREC-II structures, Identify the risks and rewards of both approaches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Auction Result
Pay-As-Bid vs Single Clearing Price
Pay-As-Bid vs Single Clearing Price

Based on

- Wide adoption in other state procurements,
- The avoidance of paying more than bids,
- The interaction with the tranche system, allowing for potentially more “preferred siting” projects to get awarded, and
- An acceptable administrative burden

the recommendation is to use the pay-as-bid approach in awarding SREC-IIs
SREC-II Payment Structure
Indexed vs Fixed
Discussion: SREC-II Payment Structure

Fixed vs Indexed SREC-IIs
- Impacts on WACC for financing projects
- Risk / Benefit to New Jersey ratepayers

Other
- Contract term length
- SREC-II payments approach – via administrative rules vs contracts
Indexed REC structure provides steady revenue to developers, while the Fixed REC structure provides certainty around ratepayer costs of environmental attributes.
Impact on Developer risk

Indexed REC Structure reduces market risk for developers, but production and basis risk remain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed REC Contracts</th>
<th>Indexed REC Contracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Market Price Risk</td>
<td>Production Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Risk</td>
<td>Basis Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Energy Market Price Risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis Risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: with Indexed RECs, any risk NOT borne by developers, is borne by ratepayers
Daymark conducted analysis to understand two things:

- Impact on SREC-II cost due to developers’ revenue uncertainty risk
- Impact on ratepayer cost due to risks associated with variable REC payment structure

Indexed SREC-II structure used in analysis:

- Assumes developer bids an all-in Strike Price
- SREC-II price paid = Strike Price - Monthly average on-peak Zonal Day Ahead LBMPs $/MWh + Capacity prices $/MWh
The WACC adjusted for forecasted cashflows was slightly lower for developers in the Indexed scenario.

The result of stochastic Monte-Carlo performed showed savings for ratepayers in the vast majority of cases.

About 20% of the cases showed the total indexed SREC-II contract cost to ratepayers being higher than an alternative fixed SREC-II contract.

The analysis does not account for potential changes in market structure, which increases longer-term ratepayer risk in the case of Indexed RECs.
Impact on the CSI Program could be $21 Million Savings Annually

15-year Contract
Total Estimated SREC-II Payments for 300MWdc Solicited

Assuming $1200/kW DC

Note: Numbers are for calculation purposes only and do not indicate expectations for bid prices.
Current Proposal

- Board Staff and Daymark seek additional comments on Indexed SREC-II or Fixed SREC-II format

- 15-year SREC-II term
- Administrative awards, rather than individual contracts
Thank you
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DAYMARK ENERGY ADVISORS
Our team brings deep knowledge and an integrated view of energy infrastructure, regulation, and markets to help our clients make well-informed business, capital investment, and policy decisions in the face of transformative change to achieve decarbonization.
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• Please be respectful of other speakers.
• We will call on speakers in order. If your name is not showing in the list of participants (only a phone number), please raise your hand when it is your turn to speak.

• Phone controls for participants
  • The following commands can be entered via DTMF tones using your phone's dial pad while in a Zoom meeting:
    • *6 - Toggle mute/unmute,
    • *9 - Raise hand.

• At the conclusion of our pre-registered speakers list, we will invite additional speakers to raise their hands to speak.
STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS
• The deadline for comments on the CSI Straw is 5:00 p.m. ET on Monday, June 20, 2022
• Please submit comments directly to Docket No. QO21101186, using the “Post Comments” button on the Board’s Public Document Search tool.
• Comments are considered “public documents” for purposes of the State’s Open Public Records Act and any confidential information should be submitted in accordance with the procedures set forth in N.J.A.C. 14:1-12.3.
• Written comments may also be submitted to:
  Carmen D. Diaz
  Acting Secretary of the Board
  44 South Clinton Avenue, 1st Floor
  Post Office Box 350
  Trenton, NJ 08625-0350
  Phone: 609-292-1599
  Email: board.secretary@bpu.nj.gov
THANK YOU – Let’s continue the conversation
veronique.oomen@bpu.nj.gov
Baseline Forecast of energy and capacity prices (Median of recent historical data)

Monte Carlo Simulation of Energy and Capacity Prices around Forecast based on historical deviations from median

Assume a WACC = 5%

Calculate a Fixed REC Price for which NPV = 0

Calculate a Strike Price for which NPV = 0

Adjust the cost of capital for Fixed REC project assuming avg. NPV of all Monte Carlo cashflows of Fixed REC project = avg. NPV of all Monte Carlo cashflows of Indexed REC project (equating the risk of returns)

New WACC for Fixed REC project

WACC of 5% for Indexed REC project

BIDDING

Estimated Bid Price for Fixed REC project

Estimated Bid Strike Price for Indexed REC project