New Jersey Board of Public Utilities New Jersey Clean Energy Program Protocols to Measure Resource Savings

Revisions to June 2009 Protocols

December 2009

New Jersey's Clean Energy Program Protocols

Table of Contents

Purpose	1
1 u1pose	1
Types of Protocols	
Algorithms	4
Data and Input Values	
Baseline Estimates	
Resource Savings in Current and Future Program Years	
Prospective Application of the Protocols	
Resource Savings	
Electric	
Natural Gas	
Other Resources	
Post-Implementation Review	
Adjustments to Energy and Resource Savings	
Coincidence with Electric System Peak	
Measure Retention and Persistence of Savings	
Interaction of Energy Savings	
Calculation of the Value of Resource Savings	
Transmission and Distribution System Losses	
Electric Loss Factor	
Gas Loss Factor	
Calculation of Clean Air Impacts.	
Measure Lives	
Protocols for Program Measures	
Residential Electric HVAC	
Protocols	
Central Air Conditioner (A/C) & Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP)	
Ground Source Heat Pumps (GSHP)	
GSHP Desuperheater	
Furnace High Efficiency Fan	
Solar Domestic Hot Water (augmenting electric resistance DHW)	
Heat Pump Hot Water (HPHW)	
1 /	
Residential Gas HVAC	
Residential Gas HVAC	
Protocols	18
Protocols	18
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters	18 18
Protocols	
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters Residential Low Income Program Protocols	
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters Residential Low Income Program Protocols Efficient Lighting	
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters Residential Low Income Program Protocols Efficient Lighting Hot Water Conservation Measures	
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters Residential Low Income Program Protocols Efficient Lighting Hot Water Conservation Measures Efficient Refrigerators	
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters Residential Low Income Program Protocols Efficient Lighting Hot Water Conservation Measures Efficient Refrigerators Air Sealing	
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters Residential Low Income Program Protocols Efficient Lighting Hot Water Conservation Measures Efficient Refrigerators Air Sealing Duct Sealing and Repair	
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters Residential Low Income Program Protocols Efficient Lighting Hot Water Conservation Measures Efficient Refrigerators Air Sealing Duct Sealing and Repair Insulation Up-Grades	
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters Residential Low Income Program Protocols Efficient Lighting Hot Water Conservation Measures Efficient Refrigerators Air Sealing Duct Sealing and Repair Insulation Up-Grades Thermostat Replacement	
Protocols Space Heaters Water Heaters Residential Low Income Program Protocols Efficient Lighting Hot Water Conservation Measures Efficient Refrigerators Air Sealing Duct Sealing and Repair. Insulation Up-Grades	

Residential New Construction Program	. 29
Protocols	
Insulation Up-Grades, Efficient Windows, Air Sealing, Efficient HVAC Equipment, and	=>
Duct Sealing	29
Lighting and Appliances	
Ventilation Equipment	
ENERGY STAR Products Program	
ENERGY STAR Appliances, ENERGY STAR Lighting, ENERGY STAR Windows, and	. 33
ENERGY STAR Audit	35
ENERGY STAR Appliances	
Protocols	
ENERGY STAR Refrigerators	
ENERGY STAR Rejrigerators	
ENERGY STAR Clothes Washers – Tier 2 (MEF of 2.20 or greater)	
ENERGY STAR Ciones washers — Tier 5 (MEF of 2.20 or greater)	35
ENERGY STAR Dishwashers ENERGY STAR Dehumidifiers	
ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioners	
ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioners ENERGY STAR Televisions – CEE Tier 2 (15% > E-Star Tier 1)	
ENERGY STAR Televisions – CLE Tier 2 (15% > E-Star Tier 1)	
ENERGY STAR Set Top Boxes ENERGY STAR Desktop Computers	
ENERGY STAR Desktop Computers	
Efficient Pool Pumps – (Two speed or variable speed)	
Pool Pump Timers	
Residential ENERGY STAR Lighting.	
ENERGY STAR Windows	
Protocols	
ENERGY STAR Windows	
Home Energy Reporting System.	
Protocols	
Home Energy Reporting System	
Refrigerator/Freezer Retirement Program	
Protocols	
Home Performance with ENERGY STAR Program	
HomeCheck Software Example	
Lighting	
Energy Use Feedback Devices	
Commercial and Industrial Energy Efficient Construction	
C&I Electric Protocols	
Baselines and Code Changes	
Performance Lighting	
Prescriptive Lighting	
Prescriptive Lighting Savings Table	
Lighting Controls	
Motors	
Electric HVAC Systems	
Electric Chillers	
Variable Frequency Drives	
Air Compressors with Variable Frequency Drives	
C&I Construction Gas Protocols.	
Gas Chillers	69

Gas Fired Desiccants	71
Gas Booster Water Heaters	
Water Heaters	72
Furnaces and Boilers	73
Compressed Air System Optimization	76
Protocols	
Compressed Air Systems	
Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Program	
Protocols	
Distributed Generation	
Energy Savings	
Emission Reductions	
Pay for Performance Program	
Protocols	
Direct Install Program	
Protocols	
Electric HVAC Systems.	
Motors	
Variable Frequency Drives	
Refrigeration Measures	
Evaporator Fan Control	
Cooler and Freezer Door Heater Control	
Polyethylene Strip Curtains For Refrigerated Cases	84
Gas Space and Water Heating Measures	85
Gas Furnaces and Boilers	85
Gas Infrared Heating	
Gas Water Heating	
Food Service Measures	
Electric and Gas Fryers	
Electric and Gas Steamers	
Electric and Gas Griddles	
Electric and Gas Ovens	
Occupancy Controlled Thermostats	
Dual Enthalpy EconomizersLow Flow Devices	
20 W 1 10 W 20 V 1005	
Demand Control Ventilation Using CO ₂ Sensors	
<u>-</u>	
Cool Cities Program	
Protocol Createrner On Site Denominable Energy Program (CODE) and Denominable	97
Customer On-Site Renewable Energy Program (CORE) and Renewable	101
Energy Incentive Program (REIP)	
Photovoltaic Systems	
Wind Systems	
Sustainable Biomass	
SREC-Only Pilot	
Renewable Energy Program: Grid Connected	
Appendix A Measure Lives	. 105

New Jersey Clean Energy Program Protocols to Measure Resource Savings

Introduction

These protocols have been developed to measure resource savings, including electric energy capacity, natural gas, and other resource savings, and to measure electric energy and capacity from renewable energy and distributed generation systems. Specific protocols for determination of the resource savings or generation from each program are presented for each eligible measure and technology.

These protocols use measured and customer data as input values in industry-accepted algorithms. The data and input values for the algorithms come from the program application forms or from standard values. The standard input values are based on the recent impact evaluations and best available measured or industry data applicable for the New Jersey programs when impact evaluations are not available.

Purpose

These protocols were developed for the purpose of determining energy and resource savings for technologies and measures supported by New Jersey's Clean Energy Program. The protocols will be updated from time to time to reflect the addition of new programs, modifications to existing programs, and the results of future program evaluations. The protocols will be used consistently statewide to assess program impacts and calculate energy and resource savings to:

- 1. Report to the Board on program performance
- 2. Provide inputs for planning and cost-effectiveness calculations
- 3. Calculate lost margin revenue recovery (as approved by the BPU)
- 4. Provide information to regulators and program administrators for determining eligibility for administrative performance incentives (to the extent that such incentives are approved by the BPU)
- 5. Assess the environmental benefits of program implementation

Resource savings to be measured include electric energy (kWh) and capacity (kW) savings, natural gas savings (therms), and savings of other resources (oil, propane, water, and maintenance), where applicable. In turn, these resource savings will be used to determine avoided environmental emissions. The Protocols are also utilized to support preliminary estimates of the electric energy and capacity from renewable energy and distributed generation systems and the associated environmental benefits. Note, however, that renewable energy protocols are different from those required for REC certification in the state of New Jersey.

The protocols in this document focus on the determination of the per unit savings for the energy efficiency measures, and the per unit generation for the renewable energy or distributed generation measures, included in the current programs approved by the Board. The number of adopted units to which these per unit savings or avoided generation apply are captured in the

program tracking and reporting process, supported by market assessments for some programs. The unit count will reflect the direct participation and, through market assessments, the number of units due to market effects in comparison to a baseline level of adoptions. The protocols report gross savings and generation only. Free riders and free drivers are not addressed in these Protocols. Further research in this area is planned.

The outputs of the Protocols are used to support:

- Regulatory Reporting
- Cost Effectiveness Analysis
- Program Evaluation
- Performance Incentives for the Market Managers

These Protocols provide the methods to measure per unit savings for program tracking and reporting. An annual evaluation plan prepared by the Center for Energy, Economic and Environmental Policy (CEEEP) outlines the plans for assessing markets including program progress in transforming markets, and to update key assumptions used in the Protocols to assess program energy impacts. Reporting provides formats and definitions to be used to document program expenditures, participation rates, and program impacts, including energy and resource savings. The program tracking systems, that support program evaluation and reporting, will track and record the number of units adopted due to the program, and assist in documenting the resource savings using the per unit savings values in the Protocols. Cost benefit analyses prepared by CEEEP and other evaluation contractors assesses the impact of programs, including market effects, and their relationship to costs in a multi-year analysis.

Types of Protocols

In general, energy and demand savings will be measured using measured and customer data as input values in algorithms in the protocols, tracking systems, and information from the program application forms, worksheets, and field tools.

The following table summarizes the spectrum of protocols and approaches to be used for measuring energy and resource savings. No one protocol approach will serve all programs and measures.

Summary of Protocols and Approaches

Type of Measure	Type of Protocol	General Approach	Examples
1. Standard prescriptive measures	Standard formula and standard input values	Number of installed units times standard savings/unit	Residential lighting (number of units installed times standard savings/unit)
2. Measures with important variations in one or more input values (e.g., delta watts, efficiency level, capacity, load, etc.)	Standard formula with one or more site-specific input values	Standard formula in the protocols with one or more input values coming from the application form, worksheet, or field tool (e.g., delta watts, efficiency levels, unit capacity, site-specific load)	Some prescriptive lighting measures (delta watts on the application form times standard operating hours in the protocols) Residential Electric HVAC (change in efficiency level times site-specific capacity times standard operating hours) Field screening tools that use site-specific input values Customer On-Site Renewable Energy
3. Custom or site-specific measures, or measures	Site-specific analysis	Greater degree of site- specific analysis, either in the number of site-specific input	Custom Industrial process
in complex comprehensive jobs		values, or in the use of special engineering algorithms, including building simulation	Complex comprehensive jobs (P4P)
		programs	CHP

Three or four systems will work together to ensure accurate data on a given measure:

- 1. The application form that the customer or customer's agent submits with basic information.
- 2. Application worksheets and field tools with more detailed site-specific data, input values, and calculations (for some programs).

- 3. Program tracking systems that compile data and may do some calculations.
- 4. Protocols that contain algorithms and rely on standard or site-specific input values based on measured data. Parts or all of the protocols may ultimately be implemented within the tracking system, the application forms and worksheets, and the field tools.

Algorithms

The algorithms that have been developed to calculate the energy and or demand savings are driven by a change in efficiency level for the installed measure compared to a baseline level of efficiency. This change in efficiency is reflected in both demand and energy savings for electric measures and energy savings for gas. Following are the basic algorithms.

Electric Demand Savings = $\Delta kW = kW_{baseline} - kW_{energy}$ efficient measure

Electric Energy Savings = $\Delta kW X EFLH$

Electric Peak Coincident Demand Savings = $\Delta kW X$ Coincidence Factor

Gas Energy Savings = Δ Btuh X EFLH

Where:

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours of operation for the installed measure. Total annual energy use (kWh) of an end use over a range of operating conditions divided by the connected full load of the end use in kW.

 $\Delta Btuh = Btuh_{baseline input} - Btuh_{energy efficient measure input}$

Other resource savings will be calculated as appropriate.

Specific algorithms for each of the program measures may incorporate additional factors to reflect specific conditions associated with a program or measure. This may include factors to account for coincidence of multiple installations, or interaction between different measures.

When building simulation software programs are used to develop savings estimates for several measures in a comprehensive project, as in the Pay for Performance Program, the specific algorithms used are inherent in the software and account for interaction among measures by design. Detailed Simulation Guidelines have been developed for the Pay for Performance Program and are included in the Pay for Performance Program Guidelines. These Guidelines should be followed when building simulation is used to develop savings estimates. As stated in the Guidelines, simulation software must be compliant with ASHRAE 90.1 2004 Section 11 or Appendix G.

Data and Input Values

The input values and algorithms in the protocols and on the program application forms are based on the best available and applicable data for the New Jersey programs. The input values for the

algorithms come from the program application forms or from standard values based on measured or industry data.

Many input values, including site-specific data, come directly from the program application forms, worksheets, and field tools. Site-specific data on the application forms are used for measures with important variations in one or more input values (e.g., delta watts, efficiency level, capacity, etc.).

Standard input values are based on the best available measured or industry data, including metered data, measured data from prior evaluations (applied prospectively), field data and program results, and standards from industry associations. The standard values for most commercial and industrial measures are based on recent impact evaluations of New Jersey Programs.

For the standard input assumptions for which metered or measured data were not available, the input values (e.g., delta watts, delta efficiency, equipment capacity, operating hours, coincidence factors) were based on the best available industry data or standards. These input values were based on a review of literature from various industry organizations, equipment manufacturers, and suppliers.

For larger, comprehensive projects, as in the Pay the Performance Program, measurement and verification (M&V) protocols are followed to better estimate site-specific energy use for the preand post-retrofit conditions. Guidelines for developing an M&V plan and protocols to follow for conducting M&V are included in the Pay for Performance Program Guidelines, available on the NJ Office of Clean Energy website at www.njcleanenergy.com. These guidelines and protocols should be followed when M&V is conducted to determine energy use for either the pre- or post-retrofit period.

Program evaluation will be used to assess key data and input values to either confirm that current values should continue to be used or update the values going forward.

Baseline Estimates

For most efficiency programs and measures, the Δ kW, Δ kWh, and gas energy savings values are based on the energy use of standard new products vs. the high efficiency products promoted through the programs. The approach used for the new programs encourages residential and business consumers to purchase and install high efficiency equipment vs. new standard efficiency equipment. The baseline estimates used in the protocols are documented in the baseline studies or other market information. Baselines will be updated to reflect changing codes, practices and market transformation effects.

For the Direct Install and Low Income programs, some Δ kW, Δ kWh, and gas energy savings values are based on high efficiency equipment versus existing equipment, where the programs specifically target early retirement or upgrades that would not otherwise occur. Protocols for the Direct Install Program include degradation tables to calculate the efficiency of the replaced unit.

The Pay for Performance Program is a comprehensive program that requires participants to implement energy efficiency improvements that will achieve a minimum of 15% reduction in

total source energy consumption. Due to the building simulation and measurement and verification (M&V) requirements associated with this Program, the baseline is the existing energy consumption of the facility, as reported through the U.S. EPA's Portfolio Manager benchmarking software.

Renewable energy and distributed generation program protocols assume that any electric energy or capacity produced by a renewable energy or distributed generation system displaces electric energy and capacity from the PJM grid.

Resource Savings in Current and Future Program Years

The Protocols support tracking and reporting the following categories of energy and resource savings:

- 1. Savings or generation from installations that were completed in the program year and prior program years due to the program's direct participation and documented market effects.
- 2. Savings or generation from program participant future adoptions due to program commitments.
- 3. Savings or generation from future adoptions due to market effects.

Prospective Application of the Protocols

The protocols will be applied prospectively. The input values are from the program application forms and standard input values (based on measured data including metered data and evaluation results). The protocols will be updated periodically based on evaluation results and available data, and then applied prospectively for future program years.

Resource Savings

Electric

Protocols have been developed to determine the electric energy and coincident peak demand savings.

Annual Electric energy savings are calculated and then allocated separately by season (summer and winter) and time of day (on-peak and off-peak). Summer coincident peak demand savings are calculated using a demand savings protocol for each measure that includes a coincidence factor. Application of this coincidence factor converts the demand savings of the measure, which may not occur at time of system peak, to demand savings that is expected to occur during the Summer On-Peak period. These periods for energy savings and coincident peak demand savings are defined as:

	Energy Savings	Coincident Peak Demand Savings
Summer	May through	June through
	September	August

Winter	October through	NA
	April	
On Peak (Monday -	8:00 a.m. to 8:00	12:00 p.m. to 8:00
Friday)	p.m.	p.m.
Off Peak	8:00 p.m. to 8:00	NA
(Weekends and	a.m.	
Holidays)		

The time periods for energy savings and coincident peak demand savings were chosen to best fit the seasonal avoided cost patterns for electric energy and capacity that were used for the energy efficiency program cost effectiveness purposes. For energy, the summer period May through September was selected based on the pattern of avoided costs for energy at the PJM level. In order to keep the complexity of the process for calculating energy savings benefits to a reasonable level by using two time periods, the knee periods for spring and fall were split approximately evenly between the summer and winter periods.

For capacity, the summer period June through August was selected to match the highest avoided costs time period for capacity. The experience in PJM and New Jersey has been that nearly all system peak events occur during these three months. Coincidence factors are used to calculate energy efficiency factors on peak demand. Renewable energy and distributed generation systems are assumed to be operating coincident with the PJM system peak. This assumption will be assessed in the impact evaluation.

Natural Gas

Protocols have been developed to determine the natural gas energy savings on a seasonal basis. The seasonal periods are defined as:

Summer - April through September Winter - October through March

The time periods for gas savings were chosen to best fit the seasonal avoided gas cost pattern that was used for calculating energy efficiency program benefits for cost effectiveness purposes. However, given the changing seasonal cost patterns for gas supply, different time periods may be more appropriate to reflect a current outlook for the seasonal pattern, if any, at the time that the avoided cost benefits are calculated. The seasonal factors used in the following protocols that correspond to the above time periods reflect either base load or heating load usage. In the case of base load, one twelfth of the annual use is allocated to each month. In the case of heating load, the usage is prorated to each month based on the number of normal degree-days in each month. This approach makes it relatively easy to calculate new seasonal factors to best match different avoided cost patterns.

Other Resources

Some of the energy savings measures also result in environmental benefits and the saving of other resources. Environmental impacts are quantified based on statewide conversion factors supplied by the NJDEP for electric, gas and oil energy savings. Where identifiable and

quantifiable these other key resource savings, such as oil, will be estimated. Oil and propane savings are the major resources that have been identified. If other resources are significantly impacted, they will be included in the resource savings estimates.

Post-Implementation Review

Program administrators will review application forms and tracking systems for all measures and conduct field inspections on a sample of installations. For some programs and jobs (e.g., custom, large process, large and complex comprehensive design), post-installation review and on-site verification of a sample of application forms and installations will be used to ensure the reliability of site-specific savings estimates.

Adjustments to Energy and Resource Savings

Coincidence with Electric System Peak

Coincidence factors are used to reflect the portion of the connected load savings or generation that is coincident with the electric system peak.

Measure Retention and Persistence of Savings

The combined effect of measure retention and persistence is the ability of installed measures to maintain the initial level of energy savings or generation over the measure life. Measure retention and persistence effects were accounted for in the metered data that were based on C&I installations over an eight-year period. As a result, some protocols incorporate retention and persistence effects in the other input values. For other measures, if the measure is subject to a reduction in savings or generation over time, the reduction in retention or persistence is accounted for using factors in the calculation of resource savings (e.g., in-service rates for residential lighting measures, degradation of photovoltaic systems).

Interaction of Energy Savings

Interaction of energy savings is accounted for in certain programs as appropriate. For all other programs and measures, interaction of energy savings is zero.

For the Residential New Construction program, the interaction of energy savings is accounted for in the home energy rating tool that compares the efficient building to the baseline or reference building and calculates savings.

For the Commercial and Industrial Efficient Construction program, the energy savings for lighting is increased by an amount specified in the protocol to account for HVAC interaction. For commercial and industrial custom measures, interaction where relevant is accounted for in the site-specific analysis. In the Pay for Performance Program, interaction is addressed by the building simulation software program.

Calculation of the Value of Resource Savings

The calculation of the value of the resources saved is not part of the protocols. The protocols are limited to the determination of the per unit resource savings in physical terms.

In order to calculate the value of the energy savings for reporting and other purposes, the energy savings are determined at the customer level and then increased by the amount of the transmission and distribution losses to reflect the energy savings at the system level. The energy savings at the system level are then multiplied by the appropriate avoided costs to calculate the value of the benefits.

System Savings = (Savings at Customer) X (T&D Loss Factor)

Value of Resource Savings = (System Savings) X (System Avoided Costs + Environmental Adder) + (Value of Other Resource Savings)

The value of the benefits for a particular measure will also include the value of the water, oil, maintenance and other resource savings where appropriate. Maintenance savings will be estimated in annual dollars levelized over the life of the measure.

Transmission and Distribution System Losses

The protocols calculate the energy savings at the customer level. These savings need to be increased by the amount of transmission and distribution system losses in order to determine the energy savings at the system level. The following loss factors multiplied by the savings calculated from the protocols will result in savings at the supply level.

Electric Loss Factor

The electric loss factor applied to savings at the customer meter is 1.11 for both energy and demand. The electric system loss factor was developed to be applicable to statewide programs. Therefore, average system losses at the margin based on PJM data were utilized. This reflects a mix of different losses that occur related to delivery at different voltage levels. The 1.11 factor used for both energy and capacity is a weighted average loss factor and was adopted by consensus.

Gas Loss Factor

The gas loss factor is 1.0. The gas system does not have losses in the same sense that the electric system does. All of the gas gets from the "city gate" (delivery point to the distribution system) to the point of use except for unaccounted for gas (such as theft), gas lost due to system leakage or loss of gas that is purged when necessary to make system repairs. Since none of these types of "losses" is affected by a decrease in gas use due to energy efficiency at the customer, there are no losses for which to make any adjustment. Therefore, a system loss factor of 1.0 is appropriate for gas energy efficiency savings.

These electric and gas loss factors reflect losses at the margin and are a consensus of the electric and gas utilities.

Calculation of Clean Air Impacts

The amount of air emission reductions resulting from the energy savings are calculated using the energy savings at the system level and multiplying them by factors developed by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP).

System average air emissions reduction factors provided by the NJDEP are:

Electric Emissions Factors

Emissions	Jan 2001-June 2002	July 2003-Present
Product		
CO ₂	1.1 lbs per kWh	1,520 lbs per MWh
	saved	saved
NOx	6.42 lbs per metric	2.8 lbs per MWh
	ton of CO2 saved	saved
SO ₂	10.26 lbs per metric	6.5 lbs per MWh
	ton of CO2 saved	saved
Hg	0.00005 lbs per	0.0000356 lbs per
	metric ton of CO ₂	MWh saved
	saved	

Gas Emissions Factors

Emissions	Jan 2001-June 2002	July 2003-Present
Product		
CO ₂	NA	11.7 lbs per therm
		saved
NOx	NA	0.0092 lbs per
		therm saved

All factors are provided by the NJ Department of Environmental Protection and are on an average system basis. They will be updated as new factors become available.

Measure Lives

Measure lives are provided in Appendix A for informational purposes and for use in other applications such as reporting lifetime savings or in benefit cost studies that span more than one year. The Pay for Performance Program uses the measure lives as included in Appendix A to determine measure-level and project-level cost effectiveness.

Protocols for Program Measures

The following pages present measure-specific protocols.

Residential Electric HVAC

Protocols

The measurement plan for residential high efficiency cooling and heating equipment is based on algorithms that determine a central air conditioner's or heat pump's cooling/heating energy use and peak demand. Input data is based both on fixed assumptions and data supplied from the high efficiency equipment rebate application form. The algorithms also include the calculation of additional energy and demand savings due to the required proper sizing of high efficiency units.

The savings will be allocated to summer/winter and on-peak/off-peak time periods based on load shapes from measured data and industry sources. The allocation factors are documented below in the input value table.

The protocols applicable for this program measure the energy savings directly related to the more efficient hardware installation. Estimates of energy savings due to the proper sizing of the equipment are also included.

The following is an explanation of the algorithms used and the nature and source of all required input data.

Algorithms

Central Air Conditioner (A/C) & Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP)

Cooling Energy Consumption and Peak Demand Savings – Central A/C & ASHP (High Efficiency Equipment Only)

Energy Impact (kWh) = $CAPY/1000 \times (1/SEER_b - 1/SEER_g) \times EFLH_c$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = $CAPY/1000 \times (1/EER_b - 1/EER_q) \times CF$

Heating Energy Savings – ASHP

Energy Impact (kWh) = $CAPY/1000 \times (1/HSPF_b - 1/HSPF_q) \times EFLH_h$

Cooling Energy Savings for Proper Sizing and QIV kWh p = kWh a * ESF

Cooling Demand Savings for Proper Sizing and QIV

$$kW_p = kW_q * DSF$$

Cooling Energy Consumption and Demand Savings – Central A/C & ASHP (During Existing System Maintenance)

Energy Impact (kWh) = $((CAPY/(1000 \times SEERm)) \times EFLH_c) \times MF$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) =((CAPY/(1000 X EERm)) X CF) X MF

Cooling Energy Consumption and Demand Savings- Central A/C & ASHP (Duct Sealing)

Energy Impact (kWh) = $(CAPY/(1000 \times SEERq)) \times EFLH_c \times DuctSF$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = $((CAPY/(1000 \times EERq)) \times CF) \times DuctSF$

Ground Source Heat Pumps (GSHP)

Cooling Energy (kWh) Savings = $CAPY/1000 \times (1/SEER_b - (1/(EER_g \times GSER))) \times EFLH_c$

Heating Energy (kWh) Savings = $CAPY/1000 \times (1/HSPF_{b-}(1/(COP_g \times GSOP))) \times EFLH_h$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = CAPY/1000 X (1/EER_b - (1/(EER_g X GSPK))) X CF

GSHP Desuperheater

Energy (kWh) Savings = EDSH

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = PDSH

Furnace High Efficiency Fan

 $Heating\ Energy\ (kWh)\ Savings = ((CAPY_q\ X\ EFLH_{HT})/100,000\ BTU/therm)\ X$ FFS_{HT}

Cooling Energy (kWh) Savings = FFS_{CL}

Solar Domestic Hot Water (augmenting electric resistance DHW)

Heating Energy (kWh) Savings = ESav_{SDHW}

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{SDHW} \times CF_{SDHW}$

Heat Pump Hot Water (HPHW)

Heating Energy (kWh) Savings = $ESav_{HPHW}$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{HPHW} \times CF_{HPHW}$

Definition of Terms

CAPY = The cooling capacity (output) of the central air conditioner or heat pump being installed. This data is obtained from the Application Form based on the model number.

SEER*b* = The Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of the Baseline Unit.

SEER $_q$ = The Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of the qualifying unit being installed. This data is obtained from the Application Form based on the model number.

SEER_m = The Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of the Unit receiving maintenance

 EER_b = The Energy Efficiency Ratio of the Baseline Unit.

 EER_q = The Energy Efficiency Ratio of the unit being installed. This data is obtained from the Application Form based on the model number.

 EER_g = The EER of the ground source heat pump being installed. Note that EERs of GSHPs are measured differently than EERs of air source heat pumps (focusing on entering water temperatures rather than ambient air temperatures). The equivalent SEER of a GSHP can be estimated by multiplying EER_g by 1.02.

GSER = The factor to determine the SEER of a GSHP based on its EER_g.

EFLH = The Equivalent Full Load Hours of operation for the average unit. ESF = The Energy Savings Factor or the assumed saving due to proper sizing and proper installation.

MF = The Maintenance Factor or assumed savings due to completing recommended maintenance on installed cooling equipment

DuctSF = The Duct Sealing Factor or the assumed savings due to proper sealing of all cooling ducts

CF = The coincidence factor which equates the installed unit's connected load to its demand at time of system peak.

DSF = The Demand Savings Factor or the assumed peak demand capacity saved due to proper sizing and proper installation.

 $HSPF_b$ = The Heating Seasonal Performance Factor of the Baseline Unit.

 $HSPF_q$ = The Heating Seasonal Performance Factor of the unit being installed. This data is obtained from the Application Form.

 COP_g = Coefficient of Performance. This is a measure of the efficiency of a heat pump.

GSOP = The factor to determine the HSPF of a GSHP based on its COP_g .

GSPK = The factor to convert EER_g to the equivalent EER of an air conditioner to enable comparisons to the baseline unit.

EDSH = Assumed savings per desuperheater.

PDSH = Assumed peak demand savings per desuperheater.

ESav_{SDHW} = Assumed energy savings per installed solar domestic hot water system with electric resistance heater backup.

DSav_{SDHW} = Assumed demand savings per installed solar domestic hot water system with electric resistance heater backup.

 $CAPYY_q = Output$ capacity of the qualifying heating unit in BTUs/hour

 $EFLH_{HT}$ = The Equivalent Full Load Hours of operation for the average heating unit

 FFS_{HT} = Furnace fan savings (heating mode)

 FFS_{CL} = Furnace fan savings (cooling mode)

 $kWh_p = Annual kWh due to proper sizing$

 $kWh_q = Annual kWh usage post-program$

 kW_p = Annual kW due to proper sizing

 kW_q = Annual kW usage post-program

ESav_{HPHW} = Assumed energy savings per installed heat pump hot water.

DSav_{HPHW} = Assumed demand savings per installed heat pump hot water.

The 1000 used in the denominator is used to convert watts to kilowatts.

A summary of the input values and their data sources follows:

Residential Electric HVAC

Component	Type	Value	Sources
CAPY	Variable		Rebate
			Application
SEER _b	Fixed	Baseline = 13	1
$SEER_q$	Variable		Rebate
			Application
SEER _m	Fixed	10	15
EER_b	Fixed	Baseline = 11.3	2
EER_q	Fixed	$= (11.3/13) \text{ X SEER}_{q}$	2
EER_g	Variable		Rebate
			Application
EER _m	Fixed	8.69	19
GSER	Fixed	1.02	3
EFLH	Fixed	Cooling = 600 Hours	4
		Heating = 965Hours	
ESF	Fixed	9.2%	22
DSF	Fixed	9.2%	22
kWh _q	Variable		Rebate
			Application
kW_q	Variable		Rebate
			Application
MF	Fixed	10%	20
DuctSF	Fixed	18%	14
CF	Fixed	70%	6
DSF	Fixed	2.9%	7
$HSPF_b$	Fixed	Baseline $= 7.7$	8
$HSPF_q$	Variable		Rebate
			Application
COP_g	Variable		Rebate
			Application
GSOP	Fixed	3.413	9
GSPK	Fixed	0.8416	10
EDSH	Fixed	1842 kWh	11
PDSH	Fixed	0.34 kW	12
ESav _{SDHW}	Fixed	3100 kWh	21
DSav _{SDHW}	Fixed	0.426 kW	21

Component	Type	Value	Sources
CF _{SDHW}	Fixed	20%	21
ESav _{HPHW}	Fixed	2662 kW	23
DSavHP _{HW}	Fixed	2.5 kW	24
CF _{HPHW}	Fixed	70%	24
Cooling - CAC	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 64.9%	13
Time Period		Summer/Off-Peak 35.1%	
Allocation Factors		Winter/On-Peak 0%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 0%	
Cooling – ASHP	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 59.8%	13
Time Period		Summer/Off-Peak 40.2%	
Allocation Factors		Winter/On-Peak 0%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 0%	
Cooling – GSHP	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 51.7%	13
Time Period		Summer/Off-Peak 48.3%	
Allocation Factors		Winter/On-Peak 0%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 0%	
Heating – ASHP &	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 0.0%	13
GSHP		Summer/Off-Peak 0.0%	
Time Period		Winter/On-Peak 47.9%	
Allocation Factors		Winter/Off-Peak 52.1%	
GSHP	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 4.5%	13
Desuperheater Time		Summer/Off-Peak 4.2%	
Period Allocation		Winter/On-Peak 43.7%	
Factors		Winter/Off-Peak 47.6%	
SDHW Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 27.0%	21
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 15.0%	
		Winter/On-Peak 42.0%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 17.0%	
Capy _q	Variable		Rebate
			Application
EFLH _{HT}	Fixed	965 hours	16
FFS _{HT}	Fixed	0.5 kWh	17
FFS _{CL}	Fixed	105 kWh	18

Sources:

- 1. Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 14, Monday, January 22, 2001/Rules and Regulations, p. 7170-7200.
- 2. Average EER for SEER 13 units.
- 3. VEIC estimate. Extrapolation of manufacturer data.
- 4. VEIC estimate. Consistent with analysis of PEPCo and LIPA, and conservative relative to ARI.
- 5. Xenergy, "New Jersey Residential HVAC Baseline Study", (Xenergy, Washington, D.C., November 16, 2001).
- 6. Based on an analysis of 6 different utilities by Proctor Engineering.

- 7. Xenergy, "New Jersey Residential HVAC Baseline Study", (Xenergy, Washington, D.C., November 16, 2001)
- 8. Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 14, Monday, January 22, 2001/Rules and Regulations, p. 7170-7200.
- 9. Engineering calculation, HSPF/COP=3.413
- 10. VEIC Estimate. Extrapolation of manufacturer data.
- 11. VEIC estimate, based on PEPCo assumptions.
- 12. VEIC estimate, based on PEPCo assumptions.
- 13. Time period allocation factors used in cost-effectiveness analysis.
- 14. Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships, Inc., "Benefits of HVAC Contractor Training", (February 2006): Appendix C Benefits of HVAC Contractor Training: Field Research Results 03-STAC-01
- 15. Minimum Federal Standard for new Central Air Conditioners between 1990 and 2006
- 16. NJ utility analysis of heating customers, annual gas heating usage
- 17. Scott Pigg (Energy Center of Wisconsin), "Electricity Use by New Furnaces: A Wisconsin Field Study", Technical Report 230-1, October 2003.
- 18. Ibid., p. 34. ARI charts suggest there are about 20% more full load cooling hours in NJ than southern WI. Thus, average cooling savings in NJ are estimated at 95 to 115
- 19. The same EER to SEER ratio used for SEER 13 units applied to SEER 10 units. $EER_m = (11.3/13) * 10$
- 20. VEIC estimate. Conservatively assumes less savings than for QIV because of the retrofit context
- 21. Energy savings are estimated based on 2008 SRCC OG300 ratings for a typical 2 panel system with solar storage tank in Newark, NJ with electric DHW backup. Demand savings are estimated based on an estimated electric DHW demand of 2.13kW with 20% CF. Loadshape and coincidence factors were developed by VEIC from ASHRAE hot water hourly consumption and NREL Red Book insulation data.
- 22. KEMA, NJ Clean Energy Program Energy Impact Evaluation Protocol Review. 2009.
- 23. ENERGY STAR® Residential Water Heaters: Final Criteria Analysis, 2008 using conservative assumptions and values for annual EF (2.0) based upon minimum energy star criteria.
- 24. VEIC Estimate based upon HDD and HPHW operating modes

Residential Gas HVAC

Protocols

The following two algorithms detail savings for gas heating and water heating equipment. They are to be used to determine gas energy savings between baseline standard units and the high efficiency units promoted in the program. The input values are based on data on typical customers supplied by the gas utilities, an analysis by the Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP), and customer information on the application form, confirmed with manufacturer data. The energy values are in therms.

Space Heaters

Algorithms

Gas Savings = $[(Capy_q/AFUE_b) - (Capy_q/AFUE_q)] * EFLH / 100,000 BTUs/therm$

Low Income Gas Savings = $[(Capy_q/AFUE_{LI}) - (Capy_q/AFUE_q)] * EFLH / 100,000$ BTUs/therm

Gas Savings due to duct sealing = $(CAP_{avg} AFUE_{avg}) * EFLH * (DuctSF_h/100,000 BTUs/therm)$

Average Heating Use (therms) = $(Cap_{avg} / AFUE_{avg}) * EFLH / 100,000 BTUs/therm$

EFLH = Average Heating Use * AFUE_{avg}* 100,000 BTUs/therm) / Cap_{avg}

Definition of Variables

Capy_q = Output capacity of qualifying unit output in BTUs/hour

Capy_t = Output capacity of the typical heating unit output in Btus/hour

Capy $_{avg}$ = Output capacity of the average heating unit output in Btus/hour

EFLH = The Equivalent Full Load Hours of operation for the average unit.

 $DuctSF_h$ = The Duct Sealing Factor or the assumed savings due to proper sealing of all heating ducts

 $AFUE_{avg}$ = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency of the average furnace or boiler

 $AFUE_q = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency of the qualifying baseline furnace or boiler$

 $AFUE_b = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency of the baseline furnace or boiler$

AFUE_{LI} = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency of the Low Income Program replaced furnace or boiler.

Average Heating Usage = The weighted average annual heating usage (therms) of typical New Jersey heating customers

Space Heating

Component	Type	Value	Source
$Capy_q$	Variable		Application
$Capy_t$	Fixed	$CAPY_Q$	1
DuctSF _h	Fixed	13%	5
AFUEavg	Variable		Application
$AFUE_q$	Variable		Application
$AFUE_b$	Fixed	Furnaces: 80% Boilers: 83%	2
AFUELI	Variable		Application or utility estimates
EFLH ¹	Fixed	965 hours	3
Avg. Heating Usage	Fixed	860 therms	5
Time Period Allocation Factors	Fixed	Summer = 12% Winter = 88%	4

Sources:

- 1. NJ Residential HVAC Baseline Study
- 2. Based on the quantity of models available by efficiency ratings as listed in the April 2003 Gamma Consumers Directory of Certified Efficiency Ratings.
- 3. NJ utility analysis of heating customers, annual gas heating usage
- 4. Prorated based on 12% of the annual degree days falling in the summer period and 88% of the annual degree days falling in the winter period.
- 5. Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships, Inc., "Benefits of HVAC Contractor Training", (February 2006): Appendix C Benefits of HVAC Contractor Training: Field Research Results 03-STAC-01
- 6. KEMA, NJ Clean Energy Program Energy Impact Evaluation Protocol Review. 2009.

Water Heaters

Algorithms

Gas Savings = $((EF_q - EF_b)/EF_q)$ X Baseline Water Heater Usage

Definition of Variables

 EF_q = Energy factor of the qualifying energy efficient water heater.

 $EF_b = 0.67 - (0.0019 * Gallons of Capacity)$

Baseline Water Heater Usage = Annual usage of the baseline water heater, in therms.

Residential Gas Measures ELFH are subject to change barring the results of impact evaluations.
 New Jersey Clean Energy Program
 Protocols to Measure Resource Savings
 December 2009

Water Heaters

Component	Type	Value	Source
Ef_q	Variable		Application Form,
			confirmed with
			Manufacturer Data
Ef_b	Variable		Application Form,
			confirmed with
			Manufacturer Data
Baseline Water	Fixed	180 therms	2
Heater Usage			
Time Period	Fixed	Summer = 50%	3
Allocation Factors		Winter = 50%	

Sources:

- 1. Federal EPACT Standard for a 40 gallon gas water heater. Calculated as 0.62 (0.0019 X gallons of capacity).
- 2. KEMA. NJ Clean Energy Program Energy Impact Evaluation Protocol Review. 2009.
- 3. Prorated based on 6 months in the summer period and 6 months in the winter period.

Residential Low Income Program

Protocols

The Protocols set out below are applicable to both the Comfort Partners component of the Low-income Program currently implemented by the State's electric and gas utilities and the Weatherization Assistance component of the Low-income Program implemented by the New Jersey Department of Community Affairs (DCA).

The savings protocols for the low-income program are based upon estimated per unit installed savings. In some cases, such as lighting and refrigerators, the savings per unit estimate is based on direct observation or monitoring of the existing equipment being replaced. For other measures, for example air sealing and insulation, the protocols calculation is based on an average % savings of pre-treatment consumption.

Base Load Measures

Efficient Lighting

Savings from installation of screw-in CFLs, high performance fixtures and fluorescent torchieres are based on a straightforward algorithm that calculates the difference between existing and new wattage, and the average daily hours of usage for the lighting unit being replaced.

Algorithm

Compact Fluorescent Screw In Lamp

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$((CFL_{watts}) \times (CFL_{hours} \times 365))/1000$$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = (CFL_{watts}) X Light CF

Efficient Fixtures

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$((Fixt_{watts}) \times (Fixt_{hours} \times 365))/1000$$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = $(Fixt_{watts})$ X Light CF

Efficient Torchieres

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$((Torch_{watts}) \times (Torch_{hours} \times 365))/1000$$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = $(Torch_{watts})$ X Light CF

Hot Water Conservation Measures

The protocols savings estimates are based on an average package of domestic hot water measures typically installed by low-income programs.

Algorithm

Electricity Impact (kWh) = HW_{eavg}

Gas Savings (MMBtu) = HW_{gavg}

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = $HW_{watts} X HW CF$

Water Savings (gallons) = WS

Efficient Refrigerators

The eligibility for refrigerator replacement is determined by comparing monitored consumption for the existing refrigerator with the rated consumption of the eligible replacement. Estimated savings are directly calculated based on the difference between these two values. Note that in the case where an under-utilized or unneeded refrigerator unit is removed, and no replacement is installed, the Ref_{new} term of the equation will be zero.

Algorithm

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $Ref_{old} - Ref_{new}$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = $(Ref_{old} - Ref_{new}) * (Ref DF)$

Space Conditioning Measures

When available, gas heat measure savings will be based on heating use. If only total gas use is known, heating use will be estimated as total use less 300 therms.

Air Sealing

It is assumed that air sealing is the first priority among candidate space conditioning measures. Expected percentage savings is based on previous experiences with measured savings from similar programs. Note there are no summer coincident electric peak demand savings estimated at this time.

Algorithm

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESC_{pre} \times 0.05$

MMBtu savings = $(GHpre \times 0.05)$

Furnace/Boiler Replacement

Quantification of savings due to furnace and boiler replacements implemented under the low-income program will be based on the algorithms presented in the Residential Gas HVAC section of these Protocols.

Duct Sealing and Repair

The second priority for homes with either Central Air Conditioning (CAC) or some other form of ducted distribution of electric space conditioning (electric furnace, gas furnace or heat pump) is ensuring integrity and effectiveness of the ducted distribution system.

Algorithm

With CAC

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$(ECool_{pre}) \times 0.10$$

MMBtu savings =
$$(GHpre \times 0.02)$$

No CAC

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$(ESC_{pre}.) \times 0.02$$

MMBtu savings =
$$(GHpre \times 0.02)$$

Insulation Up-Grades

For savings calculations, it is assumed that any applicable air sealing and duct sealing/repair have been done, thereby reducing the space conditioning load, before consideration of upgrading insulation. Attic insulation savings are then projected on the basis of the "new" load. Gas savings are somewhat greater, as homes with gas heat generally have less insulation.

Algorithm

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$(ESC_{pre}) \times 0.08$$

MMBtu savings =
$$GH_{pre} \times 0.13$$

Thermostat Replacement

Thermostats are eligible for consideration as an electric space conditioning measure only after the first three priority items. Savings projections are based on a conservative 3% of the "new" load after installation of any of the top three priority measures.

Algorithm

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$(ESC_{pre}) \times 0.03$$

MMBtu savings =
$$(GH_{pre} \times 0.03)$$

Heating and Cooling Equipment Maintenance Repair/Replacement

Savings projections for heat pump charge and air flow correction. Protocol savings account for shell measures having been installed that reduce the pre-existing load.

<u>Algorithm</u>

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $(ESC_{pre}) \times 0.17$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) = (Capy/EER X 1000) X HP CF X DSF

Other "Custom" Measures

In addition to the typical measures for which savings algorithms have been developed, it is assumed that there will be niche opportunities that should be identified and addressed. The savings for these custom measures will be reported based on the individual calculations supplied with the reporting. As necessary the program working group will develop specific guidelines for frequent custom measures for use in reporting and contractor tracking.

Definition of Terms

CFL_{watts} = Average watts replaced for a CFL installation.

 CFL_{hours} = Average daily burn time for CFL replacements.

Fixt_{watts} = Average watts replaced for an efficient fixture installation.

Fixt_{hours} = Average daily burn time for CFL replacements.

Torch_{watts} = Average watts replaced for a Torchiere replacement.

 $Torch_{hours}$ = Average daily burn time for a Torchiere replacements.

Light CF = Summer demand coincidence factor for all lighting measures. Currently fixed at 5%.

 $HW_{eavg} = Average$ electricity savings from typical electric hot water measure package.

 HW_{gavg} = Average natural gas savings from typical electric hot water measure package.

HW_{watts} = Connected load reduction for typical hot water efficiency measures

HW CF = Summer demand coincidence factor for electric hot water measure package. Currently fixed at 75%.

Ref_{old} = Annual energy consumption of existing refrigerator based on on-site monitoring.

 Ref_{new} = Rated annual energy consumption of the new refrigerator.

Ref DF = kW /kWh of savings. Refrigerator demand savings factor.

Ref CF = Summer demand coincidence factor for refrigeration. Currently 100%, diversity accounted for in the Ref DF factor.

ESC_{pre} = Pre-treatment electric space conditioning consumption.

ECool_{pre} = Pre-treatment electric cooling consumption.

EFLH = Equivalent full load hours of operation for the average unit. This value is currently fixed at 650 hours.

AC CF = Summer demand coincidence factor for air conditioning. Currently 85%.

Capy = Capacity of Heat Pump in Btuh

EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio of average heat pump receiving charge and air flow service. Fixed at 9.2

HP CF = Summer demand coincidence factor for heat pump. Currently fixed at 70%.

DSF = Demand savings factor for charge and air flow correction. Currently fixed at 7%.

 GC_{pre} = Pre treatment gas consumption.

GH_{pre} = Pre treatment gas space heat consumption (=.GC_{pre} less 300 therms if only total gas use is known.

WS = Water Savings associated with water conservation measures. Currently fixed at 3,640 gallons per year per home receiving low flow showerheads, plus 730 gallons saved per year aerator installed.

Residential Low Income

Component	Type	Value	Sources
CFL _{Watts}	Fixed	42 Watts	1
CFL _{Hours}	Fixed	2.5 hours	1
Fixt _{Watts}	Fixed	100-120 Watts	1
Fixt _{Hours}	Fixed	3.5 hours	1
Torch _{Watts}	Fixed	245 Watts	1
Torch _{Hours}	Fixed	3.5 hours	1
Light CF	Fixed	5%	2
Elec. Water Heating	Fixed	178 kWh	3
Savings			
Gas Water Heating	Fixed	1.01 MMBTU	3

Component	Type	Value	Sources
Savings			
WS Water Savings	Fixed	3,640 gal/year per home	12
		receiving low flow	
		shower heads, plus 1,460	
		gal/year per home	
		receiving aerators.	
HW _{watts}	Fixed	0.022 kW	4
HW CF	Fixed	75%	4
Ref _{old}	Variable		Contractor
			Tracking
Ref _{new}	Variable		Contractor
			Tracking and
			Manufacturer
			data
Ref DF	Fixed	0.000139 kW/kWh	5
		savings	
RefCF	Fixed	100%	6
ESC _{pre}	Variable		7
Ecool _{pre}	Variable		7
ELFH	Fixed	650 hours	8
AC CF	Fixed	85%	4
Capy	Fixed	33,000 Btu/hr	1
EER	Fixed	11.3	8
HP CF	Fixed	70%	9
DSF	Fixed	7%	10
GC_{pre}	Variable		7
GH_{pre}	Variable		7
Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 21%	11
Allocation Factors -		Summer/Off-Peak 22%	
Electric		Winter/On-Peak 28%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 29%	
Time Period	Fixed	Heating:	13
Allocation Factors -		Summer 12%	
Gas		Winter 88%	
		Non-Heating:	
		Summer 50%	
		Winter 50%	
	_1	1111101 50/0	I

Sources/Notes:

- 1. Working group expected averages for product specific measures.
- 2. Efficiency Vermont Reference Manual average for lighting products.
- 3. Experience with average hot water measure savings from low income and direct install programs.
- 4. VEIC estimate.

- 5. UI Refrigerator Load Data profile, .16 kW (5pm July) and 1,147 kWh annual consumption.
- 6. Diversity accounted for by Ref DF.
- 7. Billing histories and (for electricity) contractor calculations based on program procedures for estimating space conditioning and cooling consumption.
- 8. Average EER for SEER 13 units.
- 9. Analysis of data from 6 utilities by Proctor Engineering
- 10. From Neme, Proctor and Nadel, 1999.
- 11. These allocations may change with actual penetration numbers are available.
- 12. VEIC estimate, assuming 1 GPM reduction for 14 five minute showers per week for shower heads, and 4 gallons saved per day for aerators.
- 13. Heating: Prorated based on 12% of the annual degree days falling in the summer period and 88% of the annual degree days falling in the winter period.

 Non-Heating: Prorated based on 6 months in the summer period and 6 months in the winter period.

Residential New Construction Program

Protocols

Insulation Up-Grades, Efficient Windows, Air Sealing, Efficient HVAC Equipment, and Duct Sealing

Energy savings due to improvements in Residential New Construction will be a direct output of accredited Home Energy Ratings (HERS) software that meets the applicable Mortgage Industry National Home Energy Rating System Standards. REM/Rate is cited as example of an accredited software which has a module that compares the energy characteristics of the energy efficient home to the baseline/reference home and calculates savings.

The system peak electric demand savings will be calculated from the software output with the following savings algorithms, which are based on compliance and certification of the energy efficient home to the EPA's ENERGY STAR for New Homes program standard:

Peak demand of the baseline home = $(PL_b \times OF_b) / (SEER_b \times BLEER \times 1,000)$

Peak demand of the qualifying home = $(PL_q \times OF_q) / (EER_q \times 1,000)$

Coincident system peak electric demand savings = (Peak demand of the baseline home – Peak demand of the qualifying home) X CF

Definition of Terms

 $PL_b = Peak$ load of the baseline home in Btuh.

 OF_b = The oversizing factor for the HVAC unit in the baseline home.

SEER $_b$ = The Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of the baseline unit.

BLEER = Factor to convert baseline SEER $_b$ to EER $_b$.

 PL_q = The actual predicted peak load for the program qualifying home constructed, in Btuh.

 OF_q = The oversizing factor for the HVAC unit in the program qualifying home.

 EER_q = The EER associated with the HVAC system in the qualifying home.

CF = The coincidence factor which equates the installed HVAC system's demand to its demand at time of system peak.

In July 2002 energy code changes took place with the adoption of MEC 95. This code change affects baselines for variables used in the protocols. Therefore, to reflect these changes, tables and or values are identified as needed for installations completed during 2001 through March

2003 and for installations completed in April 2003 through the present. The application of the code changes to completions starting in April allows for the time lag between when the permits are issued and a when a home would reasonably be expected to be completed.

A summary of the input values and their data sources follows:

Applicable to building completions from April 2003 to present

Component	Type	Value	Sources
PL_b	Variable		1
OF_b	Fixed	1.6	2
$SEER_b$	Fixed	13	3
BLEER	Fixed	0.92	4
PL_q	Variable		Software Output
OF_q	Fixed	1.15	5
EER_q	Variable		Program
			Application
CF	Fixed	0.70	6

Sources:

- 1. Calculation of peak load of baseline home from the home energy rating tool, based on the reference home energy characteristics.
- 2. PSE&G 1997 Residential New Construction baseline study.
- 3. Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 14, Monday, January 22, 2001/Rules and Regulations, p. 7170-7200
- 4. Engineering calculation.
- 5. Program guideline for qualifying home.
- 6. Based on an analysis of six different utilities by Proctor Engineering.

Lighting and Appliances

Quantification of additional saving due to the addition of high efficiency lighting and clothes washers will be based on the algorithms presented for these appliances in the Energy Star Lighting Protocols and the Energy Star Appliances Protocols, respectively. These protocols are found in the Energy Star Products Program.

Ventilation Equipment

Additional energy savings of 175 kWh and peak demand saving of 60 Watts will be added to the output of the home energy rating software to account for the installation of high efficiency ventilation equipment. These values are based on a baseline fan of 80 Watts and an efficient fan of 20 Watts running for 8 hours per day.

The following table describes the characteristics of the three reference homes.

New Jersey Energy Star Homes REMRate User Defined Reference Homes -- <u>Applicable to building completions from April 2003 to present -- Reflects MEC 95</u>

Data Point	Single and Multiple Family Except as Noted.	
Active Solar	None	
Ceiling Insulation	U=0.031 (1)	
Radiant Barrier	None	
Rim/Band Joist	U=0.141 Type A-1, U=0.215 Type A-2 (1)	
Exterior Walls - Wood	U=0.141 Type A-1, U=0.215 Type A-2 (1)	
Exterior Walls - Steel	U=0.141 Type A-1, U=0.215 Type A-2 (1)	
Foundation Walls	U=0.99	
Doors	U=0.141 Type A-1, U=0.215 Type A-2 (1)	
	U=0.141 Type A-1, U=0.215 Type A-2 (1), No SHGC	
Windows	req.	
	U=0.141 Type A-1, U=0.215 Type A-2 (1), No SHGC	
Glass Doors	req.	
Skylights	U=0.031 (1), No SHGC req.	
Floor over Garage	U=0.050 (1)	
Floor over Unheated Basement	U=0.050 (1)	
Floor over Crawlspace	U=0.050 (1)	
Floor over Outdoor Air	U=0.031 (1)	
Unheated Slab on Grade	R-0 edge/R-4.3 under	
Heated Slab on Grade	R-0 edge/R-6.4 under	
Air Infiltration Rate	0.51 ACH winter/0.51 ACH summer	
Duct Leakage	No Observable Duct Leakage	
Mechanical Ventilation	None	
Lights and Appliances	Use Default	
Setback Thermostat	Yes for heating, no for cooling	
Heating Efficiency		
Furnace	80% AFUE (3)	
Boiler	80% AFUE	
Combo Water Heater	76% AFUE (recovery efficiency)	
Air Source Heat Pump	6.8 HSPF	

Data Point	Single and Multiple Family Except as Noted.	
Geothermal Heat Pump	Open not modeled, 3.0 COP closed	
PTAC / PTHP	Not differentiated from air source HP	
Cooling Efficiency		
Central Air Conditioning	13.0 SEER	
Air Source Heat Pump	13.0 SEER	
Geothermal Heat Pump	3.4 COP (11.6 EER)	
PTAC / PTHP	Not differentiated from central AC	
Window Air Conditioners	Not differentiated from central AC	
Domestic WH Efficiency		
Electric	0.86 EF (4)	
Natural Gas	0.53 EF (4)	
Water Heater Tank Insulation	None	
Duct Insulation	N/A	

Notes:

- (1) Varies with heating degree-days ("HHD"). Above value reflects 5000 HDD average for New Jersey.
 - U values represent total wall system U value, including all components (i.e., clear wall, windows, doors).
 - Type A-1 Detached one and two family dwellings.
 - Type A-2 All other residential buildings, three stories in height or less.
- (2) Closest approximation to MEC 95 requirements given the limitations of REM/Rate UDRH scripting language.
- (3) MEC 95 minimum requirement is 78 AFUE. However, 80 AFUE is adopted for New Jersey based on typical minimum availability and practice.
- (4) Size dependent. 50 gallon assumed.

New Jersey Energy Star Homes REMRate User Defined Reference Homes -- <u>Applicable to building completions from January 2008 to present</u>

Data Point	Single and Multiple Family Except as Noted.	
Domestic WH Efficiency		
Electric	EF = 0.97 - (0.00132 * gallons) (1)	
Natural Gas	EF = 0.67 - (0.0019 * gallons) (1)	

Notes:

(1) Federal Government standard for calculating EF

ENERGY STAR Products Program

ENERGY STAR Appliances, ENERGY STAR Lighting, ENERGY STAR Windows, and ENERGY STAR Audit

ENERGY STAR Appliances

Protocols

The general form of the equation for the ENERGY STAR Appliance Program measure savings algorithms is:

Number of Units X Savings per Unit

To determine resource savings, the per unit estimates in the protocols will be multiplied by the number of appliance units. The number of units will be determined using market assessments and market tracking. Some of these market tracking mechanisms are under development. Per unit savings estimates are derived primarily from a 2000 Market Update Report by RLW for National Grid's appliance program and from previous NEEP screening tool assumptions (clothes washers).

Note that the pre-July 2001 refrigerator measure has been deleted given the timing of program implementation. As no field results are expected until July 2001, there was no need to quantify savings relative to the pre-July 2001 efficiency standards improvement for refrigerators.

ENERGY STAR Refrigerators

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{REF}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{REF} \times CF_{REF}$

ENERGY STAR Clothes Washers – Tier 2 (MEF of 2.00 to 2.19)

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{CW2}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{CW2} \times CF_{CW}$

Gas Impact (Therms) = $EGSav_{CW2}$

Water Impact (gallons) = $WSav_{CW2}$

ENERGY STAR Clothes Washers – Tier 3 (MEF of 2.20 or greater)

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{CW3}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{CW3} \times CF_{CW}$

Gas Impact (Therms) = $GSav_{CW3}$

Water Impact (gallons) = $WSav_{CW3}$

ENERGY STAR Dishwashers

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{DW}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{REF} \times CF_{DW}$

Gas Impact (MMBtu) = $EGSav_{DW}$

Oil Impact (MMBtu) = $Osav_{DW}$

Water Impact (gallons) = $WSav_{DW}$

ENERGY STAR Dehumidifiers

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{DH}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{DH} \times CF_{DH}$

ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioners

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{RAC}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{RAC} \times CF_{RAC}$

ENERGY STAR Televisions – CEE Tier 2 (15% > E-Star Tier 1)

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{TV}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{TV} \times CF_{TV}$

ENERGY STAR Set Top Boxes

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{STB}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{STB} \times CF_{STB}$

ENERGY STAR Desktop Computers

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{CMP}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{CMP} \times CF_{CMP}$

ENERGY STAR LCD Monitor – (25% > E-Star Tier 2)

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{MON}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{MON} \times CF_{MON}$

Efficient Pool Pumps – (Two speed or variable speed)

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{PP}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{PP} \times CF_{PP}$

Pool Pump Timers

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{PPT}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{PPT} \times CF_{PPT}$

Definition of Terms

ESav_{REF} = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR refrigerator.

DSav_{REF} = Summer demand savings per purchased ENERGY STAR refrigerator.

ESav_{CW2} = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR clothes washer – Tier 2.

DSav_{CW2} = Summer demand savings per purchased ENERGY STAR clothes washer – Tier 2.

 $GSav_{CW2} = Gas$ savings per purchased clothes washer - Tier 2

 $WSav_{CW2} = Water savings per purchased clothes washer - Tier 2.$

ESav_{CW3} = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR clothes washer - Tier 3

DSav_{CW3} = Summer demand savings per purchased ENERGY STAR clothes washer - Tier 3

 $GSav_{CW3} = Gas$ savings per purchased clothes washer - Tier 3

WSav_{CW3} = Water savings per purchased clothes washer – Tier 3

ESav_{DW} = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR dishwasher.

DSav_{DW} = Summer demand savings per purchased Energy Star dishwasher.

Wsav_{DW} = Water savings per purchased dishwasher.

ESav_{DH} = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR dehumidifier

DSav_{DH} = Summer demand savings per purchased ENERGY STAR dehumidifier

 $ESav_{RAC}$ = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR room AC.

DSav_{RAC} = Summer demand savings per purchased ENERGY STAR room AC.

 $ESav_{TV}$ = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR TV meeting CEE Tier 2.

DSav_{TV} = Summer demand savings per purchased ENERGY STAR TV meeting CEE Tier 2.

ESav_{STB} = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR set top box.

DSav_{STB} = Summer demand savings per purchased ENERGY STAR set top box.

ESav_{CMP} = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR computer.

DSav_{CMP} = Summer demand savings per purchased ENERGY STAR computer.

ESav_{MON} = Electricity savings per purchased ENERGY STAR monitor exceeding Tier 2 by 15%.

DSav_{MON} = Summer demand savings per purchased ENERGY STAR monitor exceeding Tier 2 by 15%.

ESav_{PP} = Electricity savings per purchased efficient pool pump (Two speed or variable speed).

 $DSav_{PP} = Summer demand savings per purchased pool pump (Two speed or variable speed).$

ESav_{PPT} = Electricity savings per purchased pool pump timer.

DSav_{PPT} = Summer demand savings per purchased pool pump timer.

CF_{REF,} CF_{CW,} CF_{DW}, CF_{DH}, CF_{RAC}, CF_{TV,} CF_{STB,} CF_{CMP}, CF_{MON}, CF_{PP}, CF_{PPT} = Summer demand coincidence factor. The coincidence of average appliance demand to summer system peak equals 1 for demand impacts for all appliances reflecting embedded coincidence in the DSav factor except for room air conditioners where the CF is 58%.

ENERGY STAR Appliances

Component	Type	Value	Sources
ESav _{REF}	Fixed	91 kWh	1
DSav _{REF}	Fixed	0.0125 kW	1
REF Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 20.9%	2
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 21.7%	
		Winter/On-Peak 28.0%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 29.4%	

Component	Type	Value	Sources
ESav _{CW2}	Fixed	111 kWh	3
Gsav _{CW2}	Fixed	7.94 therms	3
DSav _{CW2}	Fixed	0.0147 kW	3
WSav _{CW2}	Fixed	7,693 gallons	3
ESav _{CW3}	Fixed	128 kWh	3
Gsav _{CW3}	Fixed	9.00 therms	3
DSav _{CW3}	Fixed	0.0170 kW	3
WSav _{CW3}	Fixed	9433 gallons	3
CW Electricity Time	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 24.5%	2
Period Allocation		Summer/Off-Peak 12.8%	
Factors		Winter/On-Peak 41.7%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 21.0%	
CW Gas Time	Fixed	Summer 50%	
Period Allocation		Winter 50%	
Factors			
ESav _{DW}	Fixed	82 kWh	4
Gsav _{DW}	Fixed	0.0754 kW	4
Osav _{DW}	Fixed	1.0	4
$DSav_{DW}$	Fixed	0.0225	4
Wsav _{DW}	Fixed	159 gallons	4
DW Electricity	Fixed	19.8%, 21.8%, 27.8%,	2
Time Period		30.6%	
Allocation Factors			
DW Gas Time	Fixed	Summer 50%	8
Period Allocation		Winter 50%	
Factors			
ESav _{DH}	Fixed	71 kWh	9
DSav _{DH}	Fixed	.0098 kW	10
ESav _{RAC}	Fixed	56.4 kWh	5
DSav _{RAC}	Fixed	0.1018 kW	6
$CF_{REF,}CF_{CW,}CF_{DW},$	Fixed	1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 0.58	7
CF_{DH}, CF_{RAC}			
$CF_{REF,}CF_{CW,}CF_{DW},$	Fixed	1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0,	7
$CF_{DH}, CF_{TV}, CF_{STB}$		1.0, 1.0, 0.58	
CF_{CMP} , CF_{MON} ,			
CF _{RAC}			
RAC Time Period	Fixed	65.1%, 34.9%, 0.0%, 0.0%	2
Allocation Factors	77. 1		
ESav _{TV}	Fixed	146 kWh	11
DSav _{TV}	Fixed	.0160 kW	11
TV Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 24.5%	11
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 9.0%	
		Winter/On-Peak 48.0%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 18.5%	

Component	Type	Value	Sources
ESav _{STB}	Fixed	94 kWh	12
DSav _{STB}	Fixed	0.0107 kW	12
STB Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 16.6%	12
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 16.8%	
		Winter/On-Peak 32.5%	
FG	D: 1	Winter/Off-Peak 34.1%	1.0
ESav _{CMP} -	Fixed	87 kWh	13
DSav _{CMP}	Fixed	.0039 kW	13
CMP Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 16.9%	13
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 16.4%	
		Winter/On-Peak 33.8%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 32.9%	
ESav _{MON}	Fixed	43 kWh	14
DSav _{MON}	Fixed	.0075 kW	14
MON Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 16.9%	14
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 16.4%	
		Winter/On-Peak 33.8%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 32.9%	
ESav _{PP}	Fixed	1,235 kWh	15
DSav _{PP}	Fixed	.74 kW	15
PP Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 65%	15
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 35%	
		Winter/On-Peak 0%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 0%	
ESav _{PPT}	Fixed	1,006 kWh	15
DSav _{PPT}	Fixed	.124 kW	15
PPT Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 23%	15
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 77%	
		Winter/On-Peak 0%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 0%	

Sources:

- 1. Energy Star Refrigerator Savings Calculator (Calculator updated: 2/15/05; Constants updated 05/07). Demand savings derived using refrigerator load shape.
- 2. Time period allocation factors used in cost-effectiveness analysis. From residential appliance load shapes.
- 3. Energy and water savings based on Consortium for Energy Efficiency estimates. Assumes 75% of participants have gas water heating and 60% have gas drying (the balance being electric). Demand savings derived using NEEP screening clothes washer load shape
- 4. Energy and water savings from RLW Market Update. Assumes 37% electric hot water market share and 63% gas hot water market share. Demand savings derived using dishwasher load shape.
- 5. Energy and demand savings from engineering estimate based on 600 hours of use. Based on delta watts for ENERGY STAR and non-ENERGY STAR units in five different size

- (cooling capacity) categories. Category weights from LBNL *Technical Support Document for ENERGY STAR Conservation Standards for Room Air Conditioners*.
- 6. Average demand savings based on engineering estimate.
- 7. Coincidence factors already embedded in summer peak demand reduction estimates with the exception of RAC. RAC CF is based on data from PEPCO.
- 8. Prorated based on 6 months in the summer period and 6 months in the winter period.
- 9. Energy Star Dehumidifier Savings Calculator (Calculator updated: 2/15/05; Constants updated 05/07). A weighted average based on the distribution of available ENERGY STAR products was used to determine savings.
- 10. Conservatively assumes same kW/kWh ratio as Refrigerators
- 11. Demand savings for TVs are derived from a comparison of an average of a 2007 US EPA data set and a January 2009 Energy star approved list. Energy savings are calculated based on hourly usage patterns defined in the US EPA 2007 revised TV specification.
- 12. Baseline energy savings for set top boxes is based on recent evaluation by Marbek / Ecos for BC Hydro, Feasibility Assessment of Canadian ENERGY STAR Set-Top Box Promotion Program (2009). On average, demand savings are the same for both Active and Standby states and is based on 8760 hours usage.
- 13. Energy savings for efficient computers is developed from an updated EPA data set (2008) accompanying the release of Version 5.0 ENERGY STAR Specification for Computers. Demand savings are calculated based on average power consumption in the idle (on) state.
- 14. Energy and demand savings for ultra efficient LCD monitors is based on a submittal to the California Energy Commission, Docket 07-AAER-3. Loadshape and coincidence factors are adopted from the efficient computer measure.
- 15. Energy and demand savings for efficient pool pumps are calculated based on California Energy Commission performance curves for two speed and variable speed pool pumps. Timers and Pool Pumps assume continued operation from June 1st to Sept 15th.

Residential ENERGY STAR Lighting

Protocols

Savings from installation of screw-in ENERGY STAR CFLs, ENERGY STAR fluorescent torchieres, ENERGY STAR indoor fixtures and ENERGY STAR outdoor fixtures are based on a straightforward algorithm that calculates the difference between existing and new wattage, and the average daily hours of usage for the lighting unit being replaced. An "in-service" rate is used to reflect the fact that not all lighting products purchased are actually installed.

The general form of the equation for the ENERGY STAR or other high efficiency lighting energy savings algorithm is:

Number of Units X Savings per Unit

Per unit savings estimates are derived primarily from a 2004 Nexus Market Research report evaluating similar retail lighting programs in New England (MA, RI and VT). Per unit savings

will decrease for CFLs in operation after 2012 due to the effects of federal minimum efficiency standards for incandescent lighting. Because CFLs typically have rated lifespans of 6-8000 hours (5-7 years) and incandescent light bulbs are rated at 1000 hours (1 year), after 2013 there will be less of a difference between CFLs in service and the incandescents that they would have been replacing.

ENERGY STAR CFL Bulbs

Energy Savings (kWh) =
$$(\Delta kW/1000)*CFL_{hours}*365*ISR_{cfl}$$

Demand Savings (kW) =
$$(\Delta kW/1000)$$
*CF

ENERGY STAR Torchieres

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$((Torch_{watts} X (Torch_{hours} X 365))/1000) X ISR_{Torch}$$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) =
$$(Torch_{watts})$$
 X Light CF

ENERGY STAR Indoor Fixture

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$((IF_{watts} \times (IF_{hours} \times 365))/1000) \times ISR_{IF}$$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) =
$$(IF_{watts})$$
 X Light CF

ENERGY STAR Outdoor Fixture

Electricity Impact (kWh) =
$$((OF_{watts} \times (OF_{hours} \times 365))/1000) \times ISR_{OF}$$

Peak Demand Impact (kW) =
$$(OF_{watts})$$
 X Light CF

ENERGY STAR LED Recessed Downlights

Energy Savings (kWh) =
$$((LED_{DWN-watts} / 1000) \times LED_{Hours} \times 365 \times ISR_{LED})$$

Demand Savings (kW) =
$$(LED_{DWN-watts} / 1000) * CF$$

Definition of Terms

 ΔW = Average difference in watts between baseline and ENERGY STAR CFL

 CFL_{hours} = Average hours of use per day per CFL

CF = Summer demand coincidence factor for ligthing

 $ISR_{CFL} = In$ -service rate per CFL

Torch_{watts} = Average delta watts per purchased ENERGY STAR torchiere

 $Torch_{hours} = Average hours of use per day per torchiere$

 $ISR_{Torch} = In$ -service rate per Torchier

IF_{watts} = Average delta watts per purchased ENERGY STAR Indoor Fixture

 IF_{hours} = Average hours of use per day per Indoor Fixture

 ISR_{IF} = In-service rate per Indoor Fixture

OF_{watts} = Average delta watts per purchased ENERGY STAR Outdoor Fixture

 OF_{hours} = Average hours of use per day per Outdoor Fixture

ISR_{OF} = In-service rate per Outdoor Fixture

Light CF = Summer demand coincidence factor for lighting.

LED_{DWN-watts} = Average delta watts per purchased LED recessed downlight

LED_{hours} = Average hours of use per day per LED recessed downlight

 ISR_{LED} = In-service rate per LED recessed downlight

ENERGY STAR Lighting

Component	Type	Value	Sources
ΔW	Fixed	48.5	5
CFL _{hours}	Fixed	2.8	6
ISR _{CFL}	Fixed	83.4%	5
CF	Fixed	9.9 %	4
Torchwatts	Fixed	115.8	
			1
Torch _{hours}	Fixed	3.0	2
ISR _{Torch}	Fixed	83%	3
IF _{watts}	Fixed	48.7	1
IF _{hours}	Fixed	2.6	2
ISR _{IF}	Fixed	95%	3
OF _{watts}	Fixed	94.7	1
OF _{hours}	Fixed	4.5	2

Component	Type	Value	Sources
ISR _{OF}	Fixed	87%	3
Light CF	Fixed	5%	4
LED _{DWN-watts}	Fixed	53.9	7
LED _{hours}	Fixed	2.8	6
ISR _{LED}	Fixed	100%	7

Sources:

- 1. Nexus Market Research, "Impact Evaluation of the Massachusetts, Rhode Island and Vermont 2003 Residential Lighting Programs", Final Report, October 1, 2004, p. 43 (Table 4-9)
- 2. US Department of Energy, Energy Star Calculator.
- 3. Ibid., p. 42 (Table 4-7). These values reflect both actual installations and the % of units planned to be installed within a year from the logged sample. The logged % is used because the adjusted values (i.e to account for differences between logging and telephone survey samples) were not available for both installs and planned installs. However, this seems appropriate because the the % actual installed in the logged sample from this table is essentially identical to the % after adjusting for differences between the logged group and the telephone sample (p. 100, Table 9-3).
- 4. RLW Analytics, "Development of Common Demand Impacts for Energy Efficiency Measures/Programs for the ISO Forward Capacity Market (FCM)", prepared for the New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), March 25, 2007, p. IV.
- 5. KEMA, NJ Clean Energy Program Energy Impact Evaluation Protocol Review. 2009.
- 6. RLW Analytics, New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009.
- 7. For determining demand savings the baseline was adopted from 2009 KEMA evaluation and represents the replacement of a 65W BR30 downlight and high efficiency is the average of ENERGY STAR qualified downlights (11/10/2009) with lighting output exceeding 475 lumens. Due to the high incremental cost and limited market availability of products, the higher ISR reflects the assumption that every LED downlight purchased is directed towards immediate use.

ENERGY STAR Windows

Protocols

The general form of the equation for the ENERGY STAR or other high efficiency windows energy savings algorithms is:

Square Feet of Window Area X Savings per Square Foot

To determine resource savings, the per square foot estimates in the protocols will be multiplied by the number of square feet of window area. The number of square feet of window area will be determined using market assessments and market tracking. Some of these market tracking

mechanisms are under development. The per unit energy and demand savings estimates are based on prior building simulations of windows.

ENERGY STAR Windows

Savings estimates for ENERGY STAR Windows are based on modeling a typical 2,500 square foot home using REM Rate, the home energy rating tool. Savings are per square foot of qualifying window area. Savings will vary based on heating and cooling system type and fuel. These fuel and HVAC system market shares will need to be estimated from prior market research efforts or from future program evaluation results.

Heat Pump

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{HP}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{HP} \times CF$

Gas Heat/CAC

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{GAS/CAC}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{CAC} \times CF$

Gas Impact (therms) = $GSav_{GAS}$

Gas Heat/No CAC

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{GAS/NOCAC}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{NOCAC} \times CF$

Gas Impact (therms) = $GSav_{GAS}$

Oil Heat/CAC

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{OIL/CAC}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{CAC} \times CF$

Oil Impact (MMBtu) = $OSav_{OIL}$

Oil Heat/No CAC

Electricity Impact (kWh) = ESav_{OIL/NOCAC}

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{NOCAC} \times CF$

Oil Impact (MMBtu) = $OSav_{OIL}$

Electric Heat/CAC

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{RES/CAC}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{CAC} \times CF$

Electric Heat/No CAC

Electricity Impact (kWh) = $ESav_{RES/NOCAC}$

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{NOCAC} \times CF$

Definition of Terms

 $ESav_{HP}$ = Electricity savings (heating and cooling) with heat pump installed.

ESav_{GAS/CAC} = Electricity savings with gas heating and central AC installed.

ESav_{GAS/NOCAC} = Electricity savings with gas heating and no central AC installed.

ESav_{OIL/CAC} = Electricity savings with oil heating and central AC installed.

ESav_{OIL/NOCAC} = Electricity savings with oil heating and no central AC installed.

ESav_{RES/CAC} = Electricity savings with electric resistance heating and central AC installed.

ESav_{RES/NOCAC} = Electricity savings with electric resistance heating and no central AC installed.

 $DSav_{HP} = Summer demand savings with heat pump installed.$

DSav_{CAC} = Summer demand savings with central AC installed.

DSav_{NOCAC} = Summer demand savings with no central AC installed.

CF = System peak demand coincidence factor. Coincidence of building cooling demand to summer system peak.

GSav_{GAS} = Gas savings with gas heating installed.

OSav_{OIL} = Oil savings with oil heating installed.

ENERGY STAR Windows

		Sources
Fixed	2.2395 kWh	1
Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 10%	2
	Summer/Off-Peak 7%	
	Winter/On-Peak 40%	
	Winter/Off-Peak 44%	
Fixed	0.2462 kWh	1
Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 65%	2
	Summer/Off-Peak 35%	
	Winter/On-Peak 0%	
	Winter/Off-Peak 0%	
Fixed	0.00 kWh	1
Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 3%	2
	Summer/Off-Peak 3%	
	Winter/On-Peak 45%	
	Winter/Off-Peak 49%	
Fixed	Summer = 12%	4
	Winter = 88%	
Fixed	0.2462 kWh	1
Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 65%	2
		_
Fixed		1
		2
		_
Fixed	4.0 kWh	1
Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 10%	2
		_
Fixed	3.97 kWh	1
Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 3%	2
	Summer/Off-Peak 3%	_
	Winter/On-Peak 45%	
	Winter/Off-Peak 49%	
Fixed	0.000602 kW	1
	0.000602 kW	1
		1
		1
Fixed	0.0169 MMBtu	1
	Fixed	Summer/Off-Peak 7% Winter/On-Peak 40% Winter/On-Peak 40% Winter/Off-Peak 44% Fixed

Component	Type	Value	Sources
CF	Fixed	0.75	3

Sources:

- 1. From REMRATE Modeling of a typical 2,500 sq. ft. NJ home. Savings expressed on a per sq. ft. of window area basis. New Brunswick climate data.
- 2. Time period allocation factors used in cost-effectiveness analysis.
- 3. Based on reduction in peak cooling load.
- 4. Prorated based on 12% of the annual degree days falling in the summer period and 88% of the annual degree days falling in the winter period.

Home Energy Reporting System

Protocols

The purpose of the program is to provide information and tools that residential customers can use to make decisions about what actions to take to improve energy efficiency in their homes. The information is mailed in reports separately and soon after a utility's regular bill to create a neighbor-to-neighbor comparison where homes of similar size are compared to each other, as well as targeting energy saving tips to individuals.

Home Energy Reporting System

Gas Savings (Therms) = $GSav_{HERS}$

Component	Type	Value	Sources
Gsav _{HERS}	Fixed	13.1 therms	1

Sources:

1. The average natural gas savings from similar program offered to Pudget Sound Energy customers. (Reference: Evidence from Two Large Field Experiments that Peer Comparison Feedback Can Reduce Residential Energy Usage, Ayres, 2009)

Refrigerator/Freezer Retirement Program

Protocols

The general form of the equation for the Refigerator/Freezer Retirement Program savings algorithm is:

Number of Units X Savings per Unit

To determine resource savings, the per unit estimates in the protocols will be multiplied by the number of appliance units.

Unit savings are the product of average fridge/freezer consumption (gross annual savings), and a net to gross ratio that adjusts for both free ridership and the portion of retired units that are replaced with more efficient new units.

Algorithm

Electricity Impact (kWh) = ESav_{RetFridge} * NTG

Demand Impact (kW) = $DSav_{RetFridge} \times CF_{RetFridge}$

Definition of Terms

ESav_{RetFridge} = Gross annual energy savings per unit retired appliance

NTG = Net-to-Gross Adjustment factor.

DSav_{RetFridge} = Summer demand savings per retired refrigerator/freezer

 $CF_{RetFridge}$ = Summer demand coincidence factor.

REFRIGERATOR/FREEZER RECYCLING

Component	Type	Value	Sources
ESav _{RetFridge}	Fixed	1,728 kWh	1
NTG	Fixed	55%	2
DSav _{RetFridge}	Fixed	.2376 kW	3
CF _{RetFridge}	Fixed	1	4

Sources:

- 1. The average power consumption of units retired under similar recent programs:

 - Fort Collins Utilities, February 2005. Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling Program 2004 Evaluation Report.

 Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance, 2005. 2005 Missouri Energy Star Refrigerator Rebate and Recycling Program Final Report
 - Pacific Gas and Electric, 2007. PGE ARP 2006-2008 Climate Change Impacts Model (spreadsheet)
 - Quantec, Aug 2005. Evaluation of the Utah Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling Program (Draft Final Report).
 - CPUC DEER website, http://eega.cpuc.ca.gov/deer/measure.asp?s=1&c=2&sc=7&m=389059
 - Snohomish PUD, February 2007. 2006 Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling Program Evaluation.
 - g. Ontario Energy Board, 2006. Total Resource Cost Guide.
- 2. The average net to gross ratios estimated for several recent programs
 - Fort Collins Utilities, February 2005. Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling Program 2004 Evaluation Report.
 - SCE, 2001. The Multi-Megawatt Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling Summer Initiative Program Final Report.
 - Pacific Gas and Electric, 2007. PGE ARP 2006-2008 Climate Change Impacts Model (spreadsheet)
 - Quantec, Aug 2005. Evaluation of the Utah Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling Program (Draft Final Report).
 - Snohomish PUD, February 2007. 2006 Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling Program Evaluation.
 - Ontario Energy Board, 2006. Total Resource Cost Guide.
- 3. Applied the kW to kWh ratio derived from Refrigerator savings in the ENERGY STAR Appliances Program.
- 4. Coincidence factor already embedded in summer peak demand reduction estimates

Home Performance with ENERGY STAR Program

In order to implement Home Performance with Energy Star, there are various standards a program implementer must adhere to in order to deliver the program. The program implementer must use software that meets a national standard for savings calculations from whole-house approaches such as home performance. The software the program implementer uses must adhere to at least one of the following standards:

- A software tool whose performance has passed testing according to the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's HERS BESTEST software energy simulation testing protocol.²
- Software approved by the US Department of Energy's Weatherization Assistance Program.³
- RESNET approved rating software.⁴

There are numerous software packages that comply with these standards. Some examples of the software packages are REM/Rate, EnergyGauge, TREAT, and HomeCheck. The HomeCheck software is described below as an example of a software that can be used to determine if a home qualifies for Home Performance with Energy Star.

HomeCheck Software Example

The following section provides a description of the HomeCheck software, which is designed to enable an energy auditor to collect information about a customer's site, and, based on what is found through the energy audit, recommend energy savings measures and demonstrate the costs and savings associated with those recommendations. The HomeCheck software is also used to estimate the energy savings that are reported for this program. The HomeCheck software is described here as an example only of how the various software packages work.

These protocols incorporate the HomeCheck software by reference which will be utilized for estimating energy savings for the Home Performance with Energy Star Program. The Board intends to assess the savings reported from time to time and will make adjustments as necessary. The following is a summary of the HomeCheck software:

The HomeCheck software was designed to streamline the delivery of energy efficiency programs. The software provides the energy efficiency specialist with an easy-to-use guide for data collection, site and HVAC testing protocols, eligible efficiency measures, and estimated energy savings. The software is designed to enable an auditor to collect information about customers' sites and then, based on what he/she finds through the audit, recommend energy-saving measures, demonstrate the costs and savings associated with those recommendations. It

New Jersey Clean Energy Program Protocols to Measure Resource Savings December 2009

² A new standard for BESTEST is currently being developed. The existing 1995 standard can be found at http://www.nrel.gov/docs/legosti/fy96/7332a.pdf.

³ A listing of the approved software available at http://www.waptac.org/si.asp?id=736.

⁴ A listing of the approved software available at http://resnet.us.

also enables an auditor/technician to track the delivery of services and installation of measures at a site

This software is a part of an end-to-end solution for delivering high-volume retrofit programs, covering administrative functions such as customer relationship management, inspection scheduling, sub-contractor arranging, invoicing and reporting. The range of existing components of the site that can be assessed for potential upgrades is extensive and incorporates potential modifications to almost all energy using aspects of the home. The incorporation of building shell, equipment, distribution systems, lighting, appliances, diagnostic testing and indoor air quality represents a very broad and comprehensive ability to view the needs of a home.

The software is designed to combine two approaches to assessing energy savings opportunities at the site. One is a measure specific energy loss calculation, identifying the change in use of BTU's achieved by modifying a component of the site. Second, is the correlation between energy savings from various building improvements, and existing energy use patterns at a site. The use of both calculated savings and the analysis of existing energy use patterns, when possible, provides the most accurate prescription of the impact of changes at the site for an existing customer considering improvements on a retrofit basis.

This software is not designed to provide a load calculation for new equipment or a HERS rating to compare a site to a standard reference site. It is designed to guide facilities in planning improvements at the site with the goal of improved economics, comfort and safety. The software calculates various economic evaluations such as first year savings, simple payback, measure life cost-effectiveness, and Savings-to-Investment ratio (SIR).

Site-Level Parameters and Calculations

There are a number of calculations and methodologies that apply across measures and form the basis for calculating savings potentials at a site.

Heating Degree Days and Cooling Degree Hours

Heat transfer calculations depend fundamentally on the temperature difference between inside and outside temperature. This temperature difference is often summarized on a seasonal basis using fixed heating degree-days (HDD) and cooling degree-hours CDH). The standard reference temperature for calculating HDD (the outside temperature at which the heating system is required), for example, has historically been 65°F. Modern houses have larger internal gains and more efficient thermal building envelopes than houses did when the 65°F standard was developed, leading to lower effective reference temperatures. This fact has been recognized in ASHRAE Fundamentals, which provides a variable-based degree-day method for calculating energy usage. CSG's Building Model calculates both HDD and CDH based on the specific characteristics and location of the site being treated.

Building Loads, Other Parameters, and the Building Model

Some are of the opinion that, in practice, detailed building load simulation tools are quite limited in their potential to improve upon simpler approaches due to their reliance on many factors that are not measurable or known, as well as limitations to the actual models themselves. Key to these limitations is the Human Factor (e.g., sleeping with the windows open; extensive use of high-

volume extractor fans, etc.) that is virtually impossible to model. As such, the basic concept behind the model was to develop a series of location specific lookup tables that would take the place of performing hourly calculations while allowing the model to perform for any location. The data in these tables would then be used along with a minimum set of technical data to calculate heating and cooling building loads.

In summary, the model uses:

- Lookup tables for various parameters that contain the following values for each of the 239 TMY2 weather stations:
 - o Various heating and cooling infiltration factors
 - o Heating degree days and heating hours for a temperature range of 40 to 72°F
 - o Cooling degree hours and cooling hours for a temperature range of 68 to 84°F
 - Heating and cooling season solar gain factors
- Simple engineering algorithms based on accepted thermodynamic principles, adjusted to reflect known errors, the latest research and measured results
- Heating season iterative calculations to account for the feedback loop between conditioned hours, degree days, average "system on" indoor and outdoor temperatures and the building
- The thermal behavior of homes is complex and commonly accepted algorithms will on
 occasion predict unreasonably high savings, HomeCheck uses a proprietary methodology
 to identify and adjust these cases. This methodology imposes limits on savings projected
 by industry standard calculations, to account for interactivities and other factors that are
 difficult to model. These limits are based on measured experience in a wide variety of
 actual installations.

Usage Analysis

The estimation of robust building loads through the modeling of a building is not always reliable. Thus, in addition to modeling the building, HomeCheck calculates a normalized annual consumption for heating and cooling, calculated from actual fuel consumption and weather data using a Seasonal Swing methodology. This methodology uses historic local weather data and site-specific usage to calculate heating and cooling loads. The methodology uses 30-year weather data to determine spring and fall shoulder periods when no heating or cooling is likely to be in use. The entered billing history is broken out into daily fuel consumption, and these daily consumption data along with the shoulder periods is used to calculate base load usage, and summer and winter seasonal swing fuel consumption.

Multiple HVAC Systems

HVAC system and distribution seasonal efficiencies are used in all thermal shell measure algorithms. HVAC system and distribution seasonal efficiencies and thermostat load reduction adjustments are used when calculating the effect of interactivity between mechanical and architectural measures. If a site has multiple HVAC systems, weighted average seasonal efficiencies and thermostat load reduction adjustments are calculated based on the relative contributions (in terms of percent of total load) of each system.

Multiple Heating Fuels

It is not unusual to find homes with multiple HVAC systems using different fuel types. In these cases it is necessary to aggregate the NACs for all fuel sources for use in shell savings algorithms. This is achieved by assigning a percentage contribution to total NAC for each system, converting this into BTU's, and aggregating the result. Estimated first year savings for thermal shell measures are then disaggregated into the component fuel types based on the pre-retrofit relative contributions of fuel types.

Interactivity

To account for interactivity between architectural and mechanical measures, HomeCheck employs the following methodology, in order:

- Non interacted first year savings are calculated for each individual measure
- Non-interacted SIR (RawSIR) is calculated for each measure
- Measures are ranked in descending order of RawSIR
- Starting with the most cost-effective measure (as defined by RawSIR), first year savings are adjusted for each measure as follows:
 - Mechanical measures (such as thermostats, HVAC system upgrades or distribution system upgrades) are adjusted to account for the load reduction from measures with a higher RawSIR
 - O Architectural measures are adjusted to account for overall HVAC system efficiency changes and thermostat load reduction changes. Architectural measures with a higher RawSIR than that of HVAC system measures are calculated using the existing efficiencies. Those with RawSIR's lower than that of heating equipment use the new heating efficiencies.
- Interacted SIR is then calculated for each measure, along with cumulative SIR for the entire job.
- All measures are then re-ranked in descending order of SIR
- The process is repeated, replacing RawSIR with SIR until the order of measures does not change

Lighting

Quantification of additional saving due to the addition of high efficiency lighting will be based on the algorithms presented for these appliances in the Energy Star Lighting Protocols found in the Energy Star Products Program.

Energy Use Feedback Devices

For homes with an energy use feedback device installed, a fixed annual electric savings of 320 kWh is estimated. These savings estimates are based on the following study: Mountain D, 2006, "The Impact of Real-Time Feedback on Residential Electricity Consumption: The Hydro One Pilot," Mountain Economic Consulting and Associated Inc., Ontario.

• Savings have been adjusted to account for the percentage of homes with non-electric space heating and/or non-electric DHW vs. homes with electric space heating and/or electric DHW.

The following grid outlines the savings observed in the Mountain study by fuel type and the correlating estimated NJ population of that fuel type.

	Reduction in electricity consumption per Mountain Study	NJ Population
Non-electric water heating and non-electric space heating	5.1%	70%
Homes with electric water heating and non-electric space heating	16.7%	20%
Homes with electric space heating and electric water heating	1.2%	10%

Savings were further adjusted by a 50% conservatism adjustment factor until more NJ specific data has been gathered.

Commercial and Industrial Energy Efficient Construction

C&I Electric Protocols

Baselines and Code Changes

In general, efficiency baselines are designed to reflect current market practices - typically, the higher of applicable codes or the minimum efficiency of available new equipment - and are updated periodically to reflect upgrades in code or information from evaluation results. There are exceptions to this approach, as in the Direct Install program (see below).

Baseline data reflect ASHRAE 90.1 1999 for program commitments made prior to December 31, 2007 and ASHRAE 90.1 2004 for commitments starting on January 1, 2008..

Performance Lighting

For new construction and entire facility rehabilitation projects, savings are calculated by comparing lighting power density of fixture being installed to the baseline power densities from ASHRAE 90.1 2004.

Lighting equipment includes fluorescent fixtures, ballasts, compact fluorescent fixtures, exit signs, LED fixtures, and metal halide lamps. The measurement of energy savings is based on algorithms with measurement of key variables (i.e., Coincidence Factor and Operating Hours) through end-use metering data accumulated from a large sample of participating facilities from 1995 through 1999.

Algorithms

Demand Savings = $\Delta kW \times CF \times (1+IF)$

Energy Savings = $\Delta kW \times EFLH \times (1+IF)$

 $\Delta kW = (LPD_{base} - LPD_{inst}) X SF$

Definition of Variables

 ΔkW = Change in connected load from baseline to efficient lighting level.

LPD_{base} = Baseline lighting power density in Watt per square foot of space floor area, based on ASHRAE 90.1 Table 9.6.1 (Space-by-Space Method)

LPD_{inst} = Lighting power density of installed fixtures, equal to the sum of installed fixture wattage divided by floor area of the space where the fixtures are installed. Wattage of installed fixtures is based on table at http://www.sce.com/NR/rdonlyres/FC51087D-2848-42DF-A52A-BDBA1A09BF8D/0/SCE B StandardFixtureWatts010108.pdf or equivalent.

SF = space floor area, Square Foot

CF = Coincidence Factor

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours

IF = Interactive Factor

Lighting Verification Summary

Component	Type	Value	Source
ΔkW	Fixed	See California SPC Table at:	•
			1
		http://www.sce.com/NR/rdonlyres/	 Baseline LPD
		FC51087D-2848-42DF-A52A-	from ASHRAE
		BDBA1A09BF8D/0/SCE_B_Stand ardFixtureWatts010108.pdf	90.1 Table 9.6.1
		ardi ixture wattso 10108.pdf	Installed LPD, space type and floor area from
		And Formula Above.	customer application
CF	Fixed	See Lighting Table by Building	2
		Type	
		Туре	
IF	Fixed	See Lighting Table by Building	3
		Туре	
EFLH	Fixed	See Lighting Table by Building	4
		Туре	
Time	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 26%	
Period Allocation		Summer/Off-Peak 16% Winter/On-Peak 36%	
Factors		Winter/Off-Peak 22%	
1 40015		THEORY OF FOUR 22/0	

Lighting by Building Type

Building Type	EFLH	CF	IF
Education – Primary School	1,440	0.57	0.15
Education – Secondary School	2,305	0.57	0.15
Education – Community College	3,792	0.64	0.15
Education – University	3,073	0.64	0.15
Grocery	5,824	0.88	0.13
Medical – Hospital	8,736	0.72	0.18
Medical – Clinic	4,212	0.72	0.18
Lodging Hotel (Guest Rooms)	1,145	0.67	0.14
Lodging Motel	8,736	1.00	0.14
Manufacturing – Light Industrial	4,290	0.63	0.04
Office- Large	2,808	0.68	0.17
Office-Small	2,808	0.68	0.17
Restaurant – Sit-Down	4,368	0.76	0.15
Restaurant – Fast-Food	6,188	0.76	0.15
Retail – 3-Story Large	4,259	0.78	0.11
Retail – Single-Story Large	4,368	0.78	0.11
Retail – Small	4,004	0.78	0.11
Storage Conditioned	4,290	0.69	0.06
Storage Unconditioned	4,290	0.69	0.00
Warehouse	3,900	0.69	0.06
Average = Miscellaneous	4,242	0.72	0.13

Sources:

- 1. California Standard Performance Contracting Program
- 2. RLW Analytics, Coincident Factor Study, Residential and Commercial & Industrial Lighting Measures, 2007.
- 3. Quantum Consulting, Inc., for Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Evaluation of Pacific Gas & Electric Company's 1997 Commercial Energy Efficiency Incentives Program: Lighting Technologies', March 1, 1999
- 4. Quantum Consulting, Inc., for Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Evaluation of Pacific Gas & Electric Company's 1997 Commercial Energy Efficiency Incentives Program: Lighting Technologies", March 1, 1999
- 5. KEMA. New Jersey's Clean Energy Program Energy Impact Evaluation and Protocol Review. 2009.

Prescriptive Lighting

This is a fixture replacement program for existing commercial customers that is targeted at facilities performing efficiency upgrades to their lighting systems.

The baseline is existing T-12 fixtures with energy efficient lamps and magnetic ballast.

The baseline for compact fluorescent is that the fixture replaced was 4 times the wattage of the replacement compact fluorescent.

Algorithms

Demand Savings = $(\Delta kW) X (CF) X (1+IF)$

Energy Savings = $(\Delta kW) X (1 + IF) X (EFLH)$

 ΔkW =Number of fixtures installed X baseline wattage for new fixture - number of replaced fixtures X wattage from table

Prescriptive Lighting for Commercial Customers

Component	Type	Value	Source
ΔkW	Fixed	See California SPC Table at:	1
		http://www.sce.com/NR/rdonlyres/F	
		C51087D-2848-42DF-A52A-	
		BDBA1A09BF8D/0/SCE_B_Standa	
		rdFixtureWatts010108.pdf	
CF	Fixed	See Lighting Table by Building in	
		Performance Lighting Section Above	2
EFLH	Fixed	See Lighting Table by Building in	
		Performance Lighting Section Above	3
IF	Fixed	See Lighting Table by Building Type	4
		in Prescriptive Lighting Section	
		Abovce	
Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 21%	
Allocation		Summer/Off-Peak 22%	
Factors		Winter/On-Peak 28%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 29%	

Prescriptive Lighting Savings Table

This table will be updated periodically to include new fixtures and technologies available after table publication. Baselines will be established based on the guidelines noted above.

Sources:

- 1. California Standard Performance Contracting Program
- 2. RLW Analytics, Coincident Factor Study, Residential and Commercial & Industrial Lighting Measures, 2007.
- 3. Quantum Consulting, Inc., for Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Evaluation of Pacific Gas & Electric Company's 1997 Commercial Energy Efficiency Incentives Program: Lighting Technologies", March 1, 1999
- 4. Quantum Consulting, Inc., for Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Evaluation of Pacific Gas & Electric Company's 1997 Commercial Energy Efficiency Incentives Program: Lighting Technologies", March 1, 1999

Lighting Controls

Lighting controls include occupancy sensors, daylight dimmer systems, and occupancy controlled hi-low controls for fluorescent, and HID controls. The measurement of energy savings is based on algorithms with key variables (i.e., coincidence factor, equivalent full load hours) provided through existing end-use metering of a sample of facilities or from other utility programs with experience with these measures (i.e., % of annual lighting energy saved by lighting control). For lighting controls, the baseline is a manual switch, based on the findings of the New Jersey Commercial Energy Efficient Construction Baseline Study.

Algorithms

Demand Savings = kW_c X SVG X CF X (1+ IF)

Energy Savings = $kW_c X SVG X EFLH X (1+IF)$

Definition of Variables

SVG = % of annual lighting energy saved by lighting control; refer to table by control type

kWc = kW lighting load connected to control

IF = Interactive Factor – This applies to C&I interior lighting only. This represents the secondary demand and energy savings in reduced HVAC consumption resulting from decreased indoor lighting wattage. This value will be fixed at 5%.

CF = Coincidence Factor – This value represents the percentage of the total load which is on during electric system's peak window.

EFLH = Equivalent full load hours.

Lighting Controls

Component	Type	Value	Source
kW_c	Variable	Load connected to control	Application
SVG	Fixed	Occupancy Sensor, Controlled Hi-	See sources below
		Low Fluorescent Control and	
		controlled HID = 30%	
		Daylight Dimmer System=50%	
CF	Fixed	See Lighting Table by Building in	
		Performance Lighting Section Above	1
EFLH	Fixed	See Lighting Table by Building in	
		Performance Lighting Section Above	2
IF	Fixed	See Lighting Table by Building in	3
		Performance Lighting Section	
		Above	
Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 26%	
Allocation		Summer/Off-Peak 16%	
Factors		Winter/On-Peak 36%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 22%	

Sources:

- 1. RLW Analytics, Coincident Factor Study, Residential and Commercial & Industrial Lighting Measures, 2007.
- 2. Quantum Consulting, Inc., for Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Evaluation of Pacific Gas & Electric Company's 1997 Commercial Energy Efficiency Incentives Program: Lighting Technologies", March 1, 1999
- 3. Quantum Consulting, Inc., for Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Evaluation of Pacific Gas & Electric Company's 1997 Commercial Energy Efficiency Incentives Program: Lighting Technologies", March 1, 1999

Motors

Algorithms

From application form calculate ΔkW where:

$$\Delta kW = 0.746 * HP * IF_{VFD} * (1/\eta_{base} - 1/\eta_{prem})$$

Demand Savings = $(\Delta kW) X CF$

Energy Savings = $(\Delta kW)*HRS*LF$

Definition of Variables

 $\Delta kW = kW$ Savings at full load

HP = Rated horsepower of qualifying motor, from nameplate

LF = Load Factor, percent of full load at typical operating condition

IF_{VFD} = VFD Interaction Factor, 1.0 without VFD, 0.9 with VFD

 η_{base} = Efficiency of the baseline motor

 η_{prem} = Efficiency of the energy-efficient motor

HRS = Annual operating hours

CF = Coincidence Factor

Motors

Component	Type	Value	Source
HP	Variable	Nameplate	Application
LF	Fixed	0.75	1
hp _{base}	Fixed	EPACT Baseline	EPACT
		Efficiency Table	Directory
hp _{prem}	Variable	Nameplate	Application
IF_{VFD}	Fixed	1.0 or 0.9	3
Efficiency - η _{ee}	Variable	Nameplate	Application
CF	Fixed	0.74	1
HRS	Fixed	Annual Operating	1
		Hours Table	

EPAct Baseline Motor Efficiency Table

Motor	1200 RPI	VI (6 pole)	1800 RPI	VI (4 pole)	3600 RPI	M (2 pole)
Horsepower	ODP	TEFC	ODP	TEFC	ODP	TEFC
1	0.8	0.8	0.825	0.825	na	0.755
1.5	0.84	0.855	0.84	0.84	0.825	0.825
2	0.855	0.865	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84
3	0.865	0.875	0.865	0.875	0.84	0.855
5	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.855	0.875
7.5	0.885	0.895	0.885	0.895	0.875	0.885
10	0.9002	0.895	0.895	0.895	0.885	0.895
15	0.902	0.902	0.91	0.91	0.895	0.902
20	0.91	0.902	0.91	0.91	0.902	0.902
25	0.917	0.917	0.917	0.924	0.91	0.91
30	0.924	0.917	0.924	0.924	0.91	0.91
40	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.917	0.917
50	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.924	0.924
60	0.936	0.936	0.936	0.936	0.93	0.93
75	0.936	0.936	0.941	0.941	0.93	0.93
100	0.941	0.941	0.941	0.945	0.93	0.936
125	0.941	0.941	0.945	0.945	0.936	0.945
150	0.945	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.936	0.945
200	0.945	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.945	0.95

^{*}Note: For the Direct Install Program, different baseline efficiency values are used.

NEMA Premium Motor Efficiency Table

Motor	1200 RPI	M (6 pole)	1800 RPI	VI (4 pole)	3600 RPI	/I (2 pole)
Horsepower	ODP	TEFC	ODP	TEFC	ODP	TEFC
1	0.825	0.825	0.855	0.855	0.77	0.77
1.5	0.865	0.875	0.865	0.865	0.84	0.84
2	0.875	0.885	0.865	0.865	0.855	0.855
3	0.885	0.895	0.895	0.895	0.855	0.865
5	0.895	0.895	0.895	0.895	0.865	0.885
7.5	0.902	0.91	0.91	0.917	0.885	0.895
10	0.917	0.91	0.917	0.917	0.895	0.902
15	0.917	0.917	0.93	0.924	0.902	0.91
20	0.924	0.917	0.93	0.93	0.91	0.91
25	0.93	0.93	0.936	0.936	0.917	0.917
30	0.936	0.93	0.941	0.936	0.917	0.917
40	0.941	0.941	0.941	0.941	0.924	0.924
50	0.941	0.941	0.945	0.945	0.93	0.93
60	0.945	0.945	0.95	0.95	0.936	0.936
75	0.945	0.945	0.95	0.954	0.936	0.936
100	0.95	0.95	0.954	0.954	0.936	0.941
100	0.95	0.95	0.954	0.954	0.941	0.95
150	0.954	0.958	0.958	0.958	0.941	0.95
200	0.954	0.958	0.958	0.962	0.95	0.954

Annual Operating Hours Table

Motor Horsepower	Operating Hours, HRS
1 to 5 HP	2,745
6 to 20 HP	3,391
21 to 50 HP	4,067
51 to 100 HP	5,329
101 to 200 HP	5,200

Sources:

- 1. California Public Utility Commission. Database for Energy Efficiency Resources. 2005.
- 2. National Electric Manufacturers Association.
- 3. KEMA. NJ Clean Energy Program Energy Impact Evaluation and Protocol Review. 2009.

Electric HVAC Systems

The measurement of energy and demand savings for C/I Efficient HVAC program for Room AC, Central AC, and air cooled DX is based on algorithms. (Includes split systems, air to air heat pumps, packaged terminal systems, water source heat pumps, central DX AC systems, ground water or ground source heat pumps)

Algorithms

Air Conditioning Algorithms:

Demand Savings = (BtuH/1000) X ($1/EER_b$ - $1/EER_q$) X CF

Energy Savings = $(BtuH/1000) X (1/EER_b-1/EER_q) X EFLH$

Heat Pump Algorithms

Energy Savings-Cooling = (BtuH $_c$ /1000) X (1/EER $_b$ -1/EER $_q$) X EFLH $_c$

Energy Savings-Heating = BtuH $_h$ /1000 X (((COP $_b$ X 3.412)-1)/(COP $_q$ X 3.412))/X EFLH $_h$

Where c is for cooling and h is for heating.

<u>Definition of Variables</u>

BtuH = Cooling capacity in Btu/Hour – This value comes from ARI or AHAM rating or manufacturer data.

 COP_b = Coefficient of Performance of the baseline unit. This data is found in the HVAC and Heat Pump verification summary table. For units < 65,000, SEER and HSPF/3.412 should be used for cooling and heating savings, respectively.

 COP_q = Coefficient of Performance of the High Efficiency unit – This value comes from the ARI or AHAM directories or manufacturer data. For units < 65,000, SEER and HSPF/3.412 should be used for cooling and heating savings, respectively.

CF = Coincidence Factor – This value represents the percentage of the total load which is on during electric system's Peak Window. This value will be based on existing measured usage and determined as the average number of operating hours during the peak window period.

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours – This represents a measure of energy use by season during the on-peak and off peak periods. This value will be determined by existing measured data of kWh during the period divided by kW at design conditions.

HVAC and **Heat Pumps**

Component	Type	Value	Source
BtuH	Variable	ARI or AHAM or Manufacturer Data	Application
EERb	Variable	See Table below	Collaborative
			agreement and C/I
			baseline study
EERq	Variable	ARI or AHAM Values	Application
CF	Fixed	67%	Engineering
			estimate
EFLH	Fixed	HVAC 1,131	JCP&L metered
		HP cooling 381	data ⁵
		HP heating 800	
Cooling	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 45%	
Time		Summer/Off-Peak 39%	
Period		Winter/On-Peak 7%	
Allocation		Winter/Off-Peak 9%	
Factors			
Heating	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 0%	
Time		Summer/Off-Peak 0%	
Period		Winter/On-Peak 41%	
Allocation		Winter/Off-Peak 58%	
Factors			

⁵ Results reflect metered use from 1995 – 1999. New Jersey Clean Energy Program Protocols to Measure Resource Savings December 2009

HVAC Baseline Table

Equipment Type	Baseline = ASHRAE Std. 90.1 - 2004
Unitary HVAC/Split Systems, Air Cooled	
· <=5.4 tons:	12 SEER
· >5.4 to 11.25 tons	10.1 EER
·>11.25 to 20 tons	9.5 EER
.> 21 to 63 tons	9.3 EER
>63 Tons	9.0 EER
Air-Air Heat Pump Systems	
· <=5.4 tons:	12 SEER
· >5.4 to 11.25 tons	9.9 EER
·>11.25 to 20 tons	9.1 EER
.>= 21	8.8 EER
Package Terminal Systems	
< 0.74 tons	12.5-(0.213*BTUHc/1000)
.75 - 1 ton	
> 1 ton	
Water Source Heat Pumps	
All Capacities	12.0 EER
GWSHPs	
Open and Closed Loop All Capacities	16.2 EER

Baseline heat pump efficiency in heating mode must be based on ASHRAE 90.1-2004 table 6.8.1 B

Electric Chillers

The measurement of energy and demand savings for C/I Chillers program is based on algorithms with key variables (i.e., kW/ton, Coincidence Factor, Equivalent Full Load Hours) measured through existing end-use metering of a sample of facilities.

Algorithms

Demand Savings = Tons PDC X $(IPLV_b - IPLV_q)$

Energy Savings = Tons X EFLH X $(IPLV_b - IPLV_q)$

Definition of Variables

Tons = Rated equipment cooling capacity

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours – This represents a measure of chiller use by season determined by measured kWh during the period divided by kW at design conditions from JCP&L measurement data.

PDC = Peak Duty Cycle: fraction of time the compressor runs during peak hours

 $IPLV_b = IPLV$ of baseline equipment, kW/Ton

 $IPLV_q = IPLV$ of qualifying equipment, kW/Ton

Electric Chillers

Component	Type	Situation	Value	Source
		Air Cooled with Condenser (All)	1.153	ASHRA 90.1-2004
		Air Cooled w/o Condenser (All)	1.019	ASIIKA 90.1-2004
		Water Cooled, reciprocating	0.696	ASHRAE 90.1-2004
		Water Cooled (<150 tons)	0.676	ASHRA 90.1-2004
		Water Cooled (151 to 300 tons)	0.628	ASHKA 90.1-2004
$IPLV_b$		Water Cooled, screw/scroll (>300	0.572	ASHRAE 90.1-2004
(kW/ton)	Fixed	tons)		ASHKAE 90.1-2004
(KW/tOII)		Water Cooled, centrifugal (<150	0.670	ASHRAE 90.1-2004
		tons)		ASHKAL 90.1-2004
		Water Cooled, centrifugal (>=150	0.596	ASHRAE 90.1-2004
		tons to 300 tons)		ASTIKAL 90.1-2004
		Water Cooled, centrifugal >300	0.549	ASHRAE 90.1-2004
		tons)		ASTIKAL 70.1-2004
Tons	Variable	All	Varies	From Application
$IPLV_q$	Variable	All	Varies	From Application (per
(kW/ton)				ARI Std. 550/590)
PDC	Fixed	All	67%	Engineering Estimate
EFLH	Fixed	All	1,360	California DEER

Variable Frequency Drives

The the measurement of energy and demand savings for C/I Variable Frequency Drive for VFD applications is for HVAC fans and water pumps only. VFD applications for other than this use should follow the custom path.

Algorithms

Energy Savings (kWh) = $0.746*HP*HRS*(ESF/\eta_{motor})$

Demand Savings (kW) = $0.746*HP*(DSF/\eta_{motor})$

<u>Definitions of Variables</u>

HP = nameplate motor horsepower per application

 η_{motor} = Motor efficiency at the peak load. Motor efficiency varies with load. At low loads of relative to the rated hp (usually below 50%) efficiency often drops dramatically.

ESF = Energy Savings Factor.

DSF = Demand Savings Factor. The demand savings factor is calculated by determining the ratio of the power requirement for baseline and VFD control at peak conditions

HRS = annual operating hours

Variable Frequency Drives

Component	Type	Value	Source
Motor HP	Variable	Nameplate	Application
η_{motor}	Variable	Nameplate or manufacturer specs	Application
ESF	Variable	See Table Below	Connecticut Light and Power
DSF	Variable	See Table Below	Connecticut Light and Power
HRS	Variable	Nameplate	Application
Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 22%	
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 10%	
		Winter/On-Peak 47%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 21%	

VFD Savings Factors

Component	Energy Savings Factor, ESF	Demand Savings Factor, DSF
Airfoil/Backward Inclined Fans	0.475	0.448
Forward Curved Fans	0.240	0.216
Chilled Water Pumps	0.580	0.201

Air Compressors with Variable Frequency Drives

The measurement of energy and demand savings for variable frequency drive (VFD) air compressors.

Algorithms

Energy Savings (kWh) = HRS*(Maximum kW/HP Savings)*Motor HP

Demand Savings (kW) = PDC*(Maximum kW/HP Savings)*Motor HP

Maximum kW/HP Savings = Percent Energy Savings * (0.746 kW/HP conversion Factor / EFF_b)

Definitions of Variables

HRS = Hours of compressor runs in an average year

PDC = Peak Duty Cycle: fraction of time the compressor runs during peak hours

Conversion Factor = Factor for converting kW to Horsepower

$EEF_b = Efficiency$ of the industry standard compressor at average load

Air Compressors with VFDs

Component	Type	Value	Source
Motor HP	Variable	Nameplate	Application
Maximum kW/HP	Fixed	0.274	Calculated
Savings			
PDC	Fixed	0.865	1
HRS	Fixed	4957	2
Percent Energy	Fixed	22%	3
Savings			
EEF_b	Fixed	0.60	3
Time Period	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 28%	
Allocation Factors		Summer/Off-Peak 39%	
		Winter/On-Peak 14%	
		Winter/Off-Peak 19%	

Sources:

- 1. Aspen Systems Corporation, *Prescriptive Variable Speed Drive Incentive Program Support for Industrial Air Compressors*, June 20, 2005.
- 2. Xenergy, Assessment of the Market for Compressed Air Efficiency Systems. 2001.
- 3. ACEEE, Modeling and Simulation of Air Compressor Energy Use. 2005.

C&I Construction Gas Protocols

For measures installed as part of the Direct Install program, different baselines will be utilized to estimate savings as defined further in the Direct Install section of these Protocols.

Gas Chillers

The measurement of energy savings for C&I gas fired chillers and chiller heaters is based on algorithms with key variables (i.e., Equivalent Full Load Hours, Vacuum Boiler Efficiency, Input Rating, Coincidence Factor) provided by manufacturer data or measured through existing end-use metering of a sample of facilities.

Algorithms

Winter Gas Savings = $(VBE_q - BE_b)/VBE_q X IR X EFLH$

Electric Demand Savings = Tons X ($kW/Ton_b - kW/Ton_{gc}$) X CF

Electric Energy Savings = Tons X ($kW/Ton_b - kW/Ton_{gc}$) X EFLH

Summer Gas Usage (MMBtu) = MMBtu Output Capacity / COP X EFLH

Net Energy Savings = Electric Energy Savings + Winter Gas Savings - Summer Gas Usage

Definition of Terms

 $VBE_q = Vacuum Boiler Efficiency$

 BE_b = Efficiency of the baseline gas boiler

IR = Input Rating = Therms/hour

Tons = The capacity of the chiller (in tons) at site design conditions accepted by the program.

 kW/Ton_b = The baseline efficiency for electric chillers, as shown in the Gas Chiller Verification Summary table below.

 kW/Ton_{gc} = Parasitic electrical requirement for gas chiller.

COP = Efficiency of the gas chiller

MMBtu Output Capacity = Cooling Capacity of gas chiller in MMBtu.

CF = Coincidence Factor. This value represents the percentage of the total load that is on during electric system peak.

Gas Chillers

Component	Type	Value	Source
VBE_q	Variable		Rebate Application
			or Manufacturer
			Data
BE_b	Fixed	75%	ASHRAE 90.1
IR	Variable		Rebate Application
			or Manufacturer
			Data
Tons	Variable		Rebate Application
MMBtu	Variable		Rebate Application
kW/Tonb	Fixed		Collaborative
		> 150 tons	agreement and C/I
		0.703 kW/ton	baseline study
		150 to <300 tons:	Assumes new
		0.634 kW/Ton	electric chiller
			baseline using air
		300 tons or more:	cooled unit for
		0.577 kW/ton	chillers less than
			100 tons;water
			cooled for chillers
			greater than 100
1 XV /T	X7 · 1.1		tons
kW/Tongc	Variable		Manufacturer Data
COP	Variable	(70/	Manufacturer Data
CF	Fixed	67%	Engineering
EFLH	Fixed	1 260	estimate
EFLH	Fixed	1,360	JCP&L Measured data ⁶
Electric	Fixed	Summer/On-Peak 45%	uata
Time Period	rixed	Summer/On-Peak 45% Summer/Off-Peak 39%	
Allocation Factors		Winter/On-Peak 7%	
Anocation ractors			
		Winter/Off-Peak 9%	

Variable data will be captured on the application form or from manufacturer's data sheets and collaborative/utility studies.

For certain fixed components, studies and surveys developed by the utilities in the State or based on a review of manufacturer's data, other utilities, regulatory commissions or consultants' reports will be used to update the values for future filings.

⁶ Results reflect metered use from 1995 – 1999. New Jersey Clean Energy Program Protocols to Measure Resource Savings December 2009

Gas Fired Desiccants

Protocols to be developed.

Gas Booster Water Heaters

C&I gas booster water heaters are substitutes for electric water heaters. The measurement of energy savings is based on engineering algorithms with key variables (i.e., Input Rating Coincidence Factor, Equivalent Full Load Hours) provided by manufacturer data or measured through existing end-use metering of a sample of facilities.

Algorithms

Demand Savings (kW) = IR X EFF/3412 X CF

Energy Savings (kWh) = $IR \times EFF/3412 \times EFLH$

Gas Usage Increase = IR X EFLH

Net Energy Savings = Electric Energy Savings – Gas Usage Increase (Calculated in MMBtu)

<u>Definition of Variables</u>

IR = Input Rating in Btuh

EFF = Efficiency

CF = Coincidence Factor

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours

The 3412 used in the denominator is used to convert Btus to kWh.

Gas Booster Water Heaters

Component	Type	Value	Source
IR	Variable		Application Form or
			Manufacturer Data
CF	Fixed	27-32%	Summit Blue
EFLH	Fixed	1,000	PSE&G
EF	Variable		Application Form or
			Manufacturer Data
Electric Time	Fixed	Requires additional	
Period Allocation		research	
Factors			

Water Heaters

This prescriptive measure targets solely the use of smaller-scale domestic water heaters (50 gallons or less per unit) in all commercial facilities. Larger gas water heaters are treated under the custom measure path. The measurement of energy savings for C&I gas water heaters is based on algorithms with key variables (i.e., energy factor) provided by manufacturer data.

<u>Algorithms</u>

Gas Savings = $((EFF_q - EFF_b)/EFF_q)$ X Energy Use Density X (Area/1000)

<u>Definition of Variables</u>

 $EFF_q = Efficiency$ of the qualifying energy efficient water heater.

 $EFF_b = Efficiency of the baseline water heater.$

Area = Square feet served by the water heater

Water Heaters

Component	Type	Value	Source
EFF_q	Variable		Application
EFF _b	Fixed	<50 gal or <75,000 Btu/h: EF >50 gal or >75,000 Btu/h: TE EF = Energy Factor	From ASHRAE 90.1 2004
Energy Use	Variable	TE = Thermal Efficiency See Table Below	1
Density			
Fluid Capacity	Variable		Application
Time Period Allocation Factors	Fixed	Summer 50% Winter 50%	

Energy Use Density Lookup Table

Building Type	Energy Use Density (kBtu/1000 sf/yr)
Education	5.2
Food Sales	3.2
Food Service	40.0
Health Care	28.9
- Inpatient	39.4
- Outpatient	3.5
Lodging	29.2
Retail (Other Than Mall)	1.0
Office	1.6
Public Assembly	0.9
Public Order and Safety	15.1
Religious Worship	0.9
Service	0.9
Warehouse and Storage	0.7
Other	1.7

Sources

1. Energy Information Administration, *Commercial Building Energy Consumption Survey*. 2003.

Furnaces and Boilers

This prescriptive measure targets the use of smaller-scale boilers (less than or equal to 1500 MBH) and furnaces (no size limitation) in all commercial facilities. Larger sized boilers are treated under the custom measure path. The measurement of energy savings for C&I gas fired furnaces and boilers is based on algorithms with key variables (i.e. Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency, capacity of the furnace, EFLH) provided by manufacturer data or utility data. Savings are calculated for four zones throughout the state by heating degree days and for twelve different building types.

Infrared Heaters

Opportunities to target replacement of existing unit heater equipment with gas infrared heating an available measure under the Direct Install Program.

<u>Algorithms</u>

Gas Savings =
$$\left[\frac{(0.8 \text{ x CAPY}_{in} \text{ x HDD x 24})}{\Delta T \text{ x 100,000}} \right] x \left(1 - \frac{AFUE_b}{AFUE_q} \right)$$

Definition of Variables

0.8 = Oversize factor of standard boiler or furnace, equivalent to 25% of capacity

 $AFUE_q = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency of the qualifying energy efficient furnace or boiler$

 $AFUE_b = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency of the baseline furnace or boiler$

For projects where infrared heater(s) are proposed as a measure: $AFUE_b = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency of the baseline unit heater(s)$

CAPY_{in} = Capacity of the furnace or boiler in therms/hour

 $HDD_{mod} = HDD$ by zone and building type

 ΔT = design temperature difference, with balance temperatures = 65° F and outdoor temperature in table below

Furnaces and Boilers

Component	Type	Value	Source
$AFUE_q$	Variable		Application
$AFUE_b$	Fixed	Furnaces: 78%	EPACT Standard
		Boilers: 80%	for furnaces and
		Infrared: 78%	boilers
CAPYin	Variable		Application
ΔΤ	Variable	See Table Below	1
HDD_{mod}	Fixed	See Table Below	1
Time Period	Fixed	Summer 12%	
Allocation Factors		Winter 88%	

Sources:

1. KEMA, Smartstart Program Protocol Review. 2009.

Adjusted Heating Degree Days by Building Type

Building Type	Heating Energy Density (kBtu/sf)	Degree Day Adjustment Factor	Atlantic City (HDD)	Newark (HDD)	Philadelphia (HDD)	Monticello (HDD)
Education	29.5	0.55	2792	2783	2655	3886
Food Sales	35.6	0.66	3369	3359	3204	4689
Food Service	39.0	0.73	3691	3680	3510	5137
Health Care	53.6	1.00	5073	5057	4824	7060
Lodging	15.0	0.28	1420	1415	1350	1976
Retail	29.3	0.55	2773	2764	2637	3859
Office	28.1	0.52	2660	2651	2529	3701
Public Assembly	33.8	0.63	3199	3189	3042	4452
Public Order/Safety	24.1	0.45	2281	2274	2169	3174
Religious Worship	29.1	0.54	2754	2745	2619	3833
Service	47.8	0.89	4524	4510	4302	6296
Warehouse/Storage	20.2	0.38	1912	1906	1818	2661

Heating Degree Days and Outdoor Design Temperature by Zone

Weather Station	HDD	Outdoor Design Temperature (F)
Atlantic City	5073	13
Newark	5057	14
Philadelphia, PA	4824	15
Monticello, NY	7060	8

Compressed Air System Optimization

Protocols

Compressed Air Systems

The energy and peak demand savings due to Compressed Air Optimization measures will be based on an a site-specific engineering analysis completed for each participating site. The engineering analysis will determine what increase in efficiency will be realized through program participation. This will be compared to the site-specific baseline condition to estimate savings.

Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Program

Protocols

The measurement of energy and demand savings for Combined Heat and Power (CHP) systems is based primarily on the characteristics of the individual CHP systems subject to the general principles set out below. The majority of the inputs used to estimate energy and demand impacts of CHP systems will be drawn from individual project applications.

CHP systems typically use fossil fuels to generate electricity that displaces electric generation from other sources. Therefore, the electricity generated from a CHP system should not be reported as either electric energy savings or renewable energy generation. Alternatively, electric generation and capacity from CHP systems should be reported as Distributed Generation (DG) separate from energy savings and renewable energy generation. However, any waste heat recaptured and utilized should be reported as energy savings as, discussed below.

Distributed Generation

Electric Generation (MWh) = Estimated annual and lifetime electric generation in MWh provided on the project application, as adjusted during the project review and approval process.

Electric Demand (kW) = Electric capacity of the CHP system in kW provided on the project application, as adjusted during the project review and approval process.

Energy Savings

Gas Energy Savings: Gas savings should be reported on a consistent basis by all applicants as the reduction in fuel related to the recapture of thermal energy (e.g., reduction in boiler gas associated with the recapture of waste heat from the CHP engine or turbine)

Electric Energy Savings: Electric energy savings should be reported only in cases where the recapture of thermal energy from the CHP system is used to drive an absorption chiller that would displace electricity previously consumed for cooling.

Emission Reductions

For many CHP applications there can be substantial emission benefits due to the superior emission rates of many new CHP engines and turbines as compared to the average emission rate of electric generation units on the margin of the grid. However, CHP engines and turbines produce emissions, which should be offset against the displaced emissions from the electricity that would have been generated by the grid.⁷

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) has provided the BPU with emission factors that are used to calculate the emission savings from energy efficiency and renewable energy projects. These factors should be used to calculate the base emission factors

⁷ Summit Blue, Draft Energy Efficiency Market Assessment of New Jersey Clean Energy Program, Book III, Page 196, May 26, 2006

which the CHP system emission factors would be compared to. The emissions from the CHP system would be subtracted from the base emissions to determine the net emission changes as follows:

Base Emission Factors

DEP Emissions Reduction Factors for electric programs are as follows:

- CO2 (Carbon Dioxide) emissions are reduced by 1,520 lbs. per MWh saved
- NOx (Nitric Oxide) emission reductions are 2.8 lbs. per MWh saved
- SO2 (Sulfur Dioxide) emission reductions are 6.5 lbs. per MWh saved
- Hg (Mercury) emission reductions are 0.0000356 lbs. per MWh saved

CHP Emission Reduction Algorithms

CO2 ER (lbs) = (1,520 * MWh) - (CHP CO2EF *MWh)

NOx ER (lbs) = (2.8 * MWh) - (CHP NOxEF *MWh)

SO2 ER (lbs) = (6.5 * MWh) - (CHP SO2EF *MWh)

HG ER (lbs) = (0.0000356 * MWh) - (CHP HGEF *MWh)

Definitions

ER = Emission reductions in pounds

CHP EF = the emission factors of the CHP system in pounds per MWh for each type of emission MWh = the estimated annual and lifetime generation from the CHP system

Emission reductions from any CHP system energy savings, as discussed above, would be treated the same as any other energy savings reported.

Pay for Performance Program

Protocols

The Pay for Performance Program is a comprehensive program targeted at existing commercial and industrial buildings that have an average annual demand of 200 kW or greater. Participants in the Pay for Performance Program are required to identify and implement energy efficiency improvements that will achieve a minimum of 15% reduction in total source energy consumption.

In order for a project to qualify for incentives under the Pay for Performance Program, the Partner must create a whole-building simulation, as described in detail in the Simulation Guidelines section of the Pay for Performance Program Guidelines. The primary source for developing the Simulation Guidelines is ASHRAE Guideline 14. The requirements are meant to facilitate consistent modeling among modelers, establish modeling guidelines for measures that ASHRAE 90.1 leaves to the Rating Authority to determine, and ensure that these modeling results are used to drive energy-efficient design from the beginning of the design process. Savings estimates calculated by the simulation software and included in the final approved Energy Reduction Plan will be applied to the Pay for Performance program.

Modeling Software Requirements

Simulation software must be compliant with ASHRAE 90.1 2004 Section 11 or Appendix G. Examples of allowed tools include eQUEST, HAP, EnergyPlus, Trane Trace, DOE 2.1. Approval for use in LEED and Federal Tax Deductions for Commercial Buildings program may serve as the proxy to demonstrate compliance with the requirement.

If the approved simulation tool used for the project cannot adequately model a design, material, or device, then the energy savings associated with this component may be calculated using an external calculation method (custom spreadsheets, proprietary software, or thermodynamically-similar component model) that can approximate the expected performance of the particular component that cannot be modeled explicitly in the approved software tool. The resulting savings may then be subtracted from the usage projected by post-retrofit model. External calculation methods must include detailed documentation and require prior approval by the Market Manager.

Baseline Conditions

Due to the building simulation and measurement and verification (M&V) requirements associated with this Program, the baseline is the existing energy consumption of the facility, as reported through the U.S. EPA's Portfolio Manager benchmarking software.

Measurement & Verification Guidelines

A building-specific metering plan is a required component of each Energy Reduction Plan. The Metering Plan should follow the M&V Guidelines (Appendix A) and shall be included in Section VI of the Energy Reduction Plan. The Metering Plan must, in general, address the following for each measure and building:

- 1. How will the post-retrofit usage be measured or otherwise determined and how will that relate to the pre-construction conditions/equipment?
- 2. What factors or variables affect energy consumption of both baseline and post-retrofit conditions (e.g. outside and indoor air temperature, humidity, occupancy, operating hours)?
- 3. How will these factors/variables be measured and used to adjust the baseline or post-retrofit energy usage, if necessary, so savings can be determined?

The options and methods used for measurement and verification in the Pay for Performance Program are adopted from those defined in the 2002 International Performance Measurement and Verifications Protocol (IPMVP) and the 2008 Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) M&V Guideline version 3.0. Of the four basic options are outlined in the IPMVP, Option D must be followed for all projects in the Pay for Performance Program. M&V protocols for Options A and B may be used as guidelines for data collection. All metering results will be used to calibrate the simulation model. Option C is not applicable for the Program.

Option A – Partially Measured Retrofit Isolation: Savings are predicted using engineering or statistical methods that do not involve long-term measurement. This option will generally be accepted only where other methods are not cost effective and the savings are very predictable and reliable.

Option B – Retrofit Isolation: Involves short-term or continuous metering during the performance period to determine energy consumption. Measurements are usually taken at the device or system level.

Option C – Whole Facility: Involves (1) comparing monthly billing data recorded for the whole building or project site by a utility meter or sub-meters, before and after project installation, and (2) analyzing that data to account for any variables, such as weather or occupancy levels. Energy savings can be determined once the variables are recognized and adjusted to match pre-installation conditions.

Option D – Calibrated Simulation: Involves using software to create a simulated model of a building based on blueprints and site surveys. The model is calibrated by comparing it with billing or end-use monitored data. Models of the project are typically constructed for (1) the existing base case, and (2) a case with the energy measures installed.

Direct Install Program

Protocols

This section identifies the protocols for all measures proposed under the Direct Install Program. This section includes protocols for measures that are not included in other sections of the Protocols. In addition, for several of the where Direct Install Protocols uses algorithms and inputs from the "Commercial and Industrial Energy Efficient Construction" section of the Protocols, different equipment baselines will be used to reflect the Direct Install includes early retirement. Baseline equipment efficiency shown in this section is an estimate of existing equipment efficiency rather than currently available standard efficiency.

Electric HVAC Systems

Replacement of existing electric HVAC equipment with high efficiency units is a proposed measure under the Direct Install Program. (See C&I Construction Electric HVAC Systems Protocols). The savings protocol will be the same as previously stated in this document with the exception of the assumption for baseline efficiency. For the Direct Install program, the following values will be used for the variable identified as EER_b . These age-based efficiencies are used in estimating savings associated with the Direct Install Program because as an early replacement program, equipment is replaced as a direct result of the program.

Default Values for Mechanical System Efficiencies - Age-Based

System Unit	I Traide	Pre-	1960-	1970-	1975-	1984-	1988-	1992-	
	Unus	1960	1969	1974	1983	1987	1991	present	
Unitary HVAC / Split Systems									
<= 5.4 tons	SEER	5.0	6.1	6.5	7.4	8.7	9.4	10	
5.4 - 11.25 tons	EER	3.9	4.7	5.1	5.7	6.8	7.3	7.8	
11.25 - 20 tons	EER	3.7	4.5	4.8	5.4	6.4	6.9	7.3	
Air-Air Heat Pump Systems									
<= 5.4 tons	SEER	5.0	6.1	6.5	7.4	8.7	9.4	10	
5.4 - 11.25 tons	EER	3.8	4.6	5.0	5.6	6.6	7.2	7.6	
Packaged Terminal Systems									
< 0.74 tons	EER	5.0	6.1	6.1	6.7	7.7	8.1	8.5	
0.75 - 1 ton	EER	4.8	5.9	5.9	6.4	7.4	7.8	8.2	
> 1 ton	EER	4.7	5.7	5.7	6.3	7.2	7.6	7.9	
Water Source Heat Pumps									
All Capacities	EER	5.9	7.2	7.2	7.9	9.1	9.5	10	

Source: Based on the 2006 Mortgage Industry National Home Energy Ratings Systems Standards, Table 303.7.1(3) Default Values for Mechanical System Efficiencies (Age-based), RESNET.

Motors

Replacement of existing motors with high efficiency units is a proposed measure under the Direct Install Program. (See C&I Construction Motors Protocols). The savings protocol will be the same as previously stated in this document with the exception of the assumption for baseline

efficiency. For the Direct Install program, the following values will be used for the variable identified as η_{base} . These efficiencies are used in estimating savings associated with the Direct Install Program because as an early replacement program, equipment is replaced as a direct result of the program.

Motor	Baseline
HP	Efficiency
1	0.75
1.5	0.775
2	0.80
3	0.825
5	0.84
7.5	0.845
10	0.85

Source: Opportunities for Energy Savings in the Residential and Commercial Sectors with High-Efficiency Electric Motors, US DOE, 1999, Figure 4-4, page 4-5.

Variable Frequency Drives

Installation of variable frequency motor drive systems is a proposed measure under the Direct Install Program. (See C&I Construction Motors Protocols). Because there is no baseline assumption included in the protocols for this measure, the savings protocol will be exactly the same as previously stated in this document.

Refrigeration Measures

Evaporator Fan Control

This measure is applicable to existing walk-in coolers and freezers that have evaporator fans which run continuously. The measure adds a control system feature to automatically shut off evaporator fans when the cooler's thermostat is not calling for cooling. The measurement of energy savings for this measure is based on algorithms with key variables provided by manufacturer data or prescribed herein.

Algorithms

Annual Energy Savings: S = N*P*(2,890 hours)*1/mE

Demand Savings: KW = C*P*(1/mE)

<u>Definition of Variables</u>

S = energy savings, kwh

N = Number of fans

P = Fan power, kw

H = Hours per year the fans are assumed to be shut off (8,760*0.3333)

mE = use 0.80 as assumed fan motor efficiency

KW = kw reduction from the summer peak

C is the diversity factor of 10%

P = Fan power, kw

mE = use 0.82 as assumed fan motor efficiency, based on the average EPACT efficiencies of 1 and 1.5 horsepower 1,200 RPM motors.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is 24-hour operation of the evaporator fans.

Measure Cost and Incentive Amount

The standardized cost and incentive amounts for this measure will be based on contractor bids in response to the forthcoming RFP planned for issuance in April 2009.

Source

Developed from the *UI and CL&P Program Savings Documentation for Program Year* 2006.

Cooler and Freezer Door Heater Control

This measure is applicable to existing walk-in coolers and freezers that have continuously operating electric heaters on the doors to prevent condensation formation. This measure adds a control system feature to shut off the door heaters when the humidity level is low enough such that condensation will not occur if the heaters are off. The measurement of energy savings for this measure is based on algorithms with key variables provided by manufacturer data or prescribed herein.

Algorithms

Annual Energy Savings: S = P*6500

Demand Savings: KW = D*P

Definition of Variables

S = energy savings, kwh

P = Door heater power, kw

6500 = Assumed hours per year the heaters are shut off

KW = kW reduction from the summer peak

D is the estimated diversity factor of 10%

P = Door heater power, kW

Baseline Condition

The baseline is 24-hour operation of the heaters.

Measure Cost and Incentive Amount

The standardized cost and incentive amounts for this measure will be based on contractor bids in response to the forthcoming RFP planned for issuance in April 2009.

Source

Developed from the *UI and CL&P Program Savings Documentation for Program Year* 2006.

Polyethylene Strip Curtains For Refrigerated Cases

This measure is applicable for existing refrigerated cases, used for non-frozen products (case temperature set-point of 45°F to 65°F), which do not have doors or other means of full or partial closure to reduce cold air loss to ambient room air. The heat gain due to the spilling of refrigerated air and convective mixing with room air is reduced at the case opening. Polyethylene strip curtains can be installed to allow customers to reach through the curtain to select the product.

<u>Algorithms</u>

Demand Savings: $\Delta kW = (HG \times EF \times CL) / (EER \times 1000)$

Annual Energy Savings: $\Delta kWh = \Delta kW \times Usage \times 365$

Definition of Variables

ΔkW	= gross customer connected load kW savings for the measure (kW)
HG	= 610 Btu/hr-ft opening - Loss of cold air or heat gain for refrigerated cases with no cover (Btu/hr-ft opening).
EF	= 0.65 Efficiency Factor: Fraction of heat gain prevented by case cover. The Efficiency Factor for strip curtains is 0.65.
CL	= Refrigerated open case length in feet (ft). Case length is the open length of the refrigerated box. If the unit is two sided use the open length of both sides.
EER	= 18.5 Compressor efficiency (Btu/hr-watt). The average compressor efficiency (EER) is 18.5 for high temperature applications (case temperature 45°F to 65°F). 8
1000	= Conversion from watts to $kW (W/kW)$.
Δ kWh	= gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure (kWh)
Usage	= Average hours per day that case cover is in place (hrs/day). Assume 24 hrs/day for strip curtains.
365	= (days/yr)

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a refrigerated case without a cover.

⁸ Average EER values were calculated as the average of standard reciprocating and discus compressor efficiencies, using a typical condensing temperature of 90°F and saturated suction temperatures (SST) of 20°F for medium temperature applications and 45°F for high temperature applications.

Measure Cost and Incentive Amount

The standardized cost and incentive amounts for this measure will be based on contractor bids in response to the forthcoming RFP planned for issuance in April 2009.

Source:

Analysis for PG&E by ENCON Mechanical & Nuclear Engineering, 8/24/92.

Gas Space and Water Heating Measures

Gas Furnaces and Boilers

Replacement of existing gas furnaces and boilers with gas high efficiency units is a proposed measure under the Direct Install Program. (See C&I Construction Gas Protocols). The savings protocol will be the same as previously stated in this document with the exception of the assumption for baseline efficiency. For the Direct Install program, the following values will be used for the variable identified as $AFUE_b$. These age-based efficiencies are used in estimating savings associated with the Direct Install Program because as an early replacement program, equipment is replaced as a direct result of the program.

Default Values for Mechanical System Efficiencies – Age-Based

		00		0				
Sugtan	Units	Pre-	1960-	1970-	1975-	1984-	1988-	1992-
System	Unus	1960	1969	1974	1983	1987	1991	present
Gas Furnace	AFUE	0.60	0.60	0.65	0.68	0.68	0.76	0.78
Gas Boiler	AFUE	0.60	0.60	0.65	0.65	0.70	0.77	0.80
Oil Furnace or Boiler	AFUE	0.60	0.65	0.72	0.75	0.80	0.80	0.80

Source: 2006 Mortgage Industry National Home Energy Ratings Systems Standards, Table 303.7.1(3) Default Values for Mechanical System Efficiencies (Age-based), RESNET.

Gas Infrared Heating

Replacement of existing atmospherically vented heating with gas infrared heating is an available measure under the Direct Install Program. (See C&I Construction Gas Protocols). The savings protocol will be the same as previously stated in this document with the exception of the assumption for baseline efficiency. For the Direct Install program, a value of 0.63 will be used for the variable identified as AFUE_b. This age-based efficiency is used in estimating savings associated with the Direct Install Program because as an early replacement program, equipment is replaced as a direct result of the program.

Source: Unit Heaters Deserve Attention For Commercial Programs, Sachs, Harvey M., April 2003, Report Number A031

Gas Water Heating

Replacement of existing gas furnaces and boilers with gas high efficiency units is a proposed measure under the Direct Install Program. (See C&I Construction Gas Protocols). The savings protocol will be the same as previously stated in this document with the exception of the assumption for baseline efficiency. For the Direct Install program, the following values will be used for the variable identified as EFF_b. These age-based efficiencies are used in estimating savings associated with the Direct Install Program because as an early replacement program, equipment is replaced as a direct result of the program.

Default Values for Water Heating System Efficiencies - Age-Based

v v								
System	Units	Pre-	1960-	1970-	1975-	1984-	1988-	1992-
System	Onus	1960	1969	1974	1983	<i>1987</i>	1991	present
Gas Storage	EF	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.55	0.55	0.56
Oil Storage	EF	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.49	0.54	0.56
Gas/Oil >50 gal, >75 kBtuh	T_e	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.68	0.76	0.76	0.77

Source: 2006 Mortgage Industry National Home Energy Ratings Systems Standards, Table 303.7.1(3) Default Values for Mechanical System Efficiencies (Age-based), RESNET.

Food Service Measures

Electric and Gas Fryers

Energy efficient electric or natural gas fryers and vat fryers utilized in commercial food service applications which have performance rated in accordance with ASTM Standard Test Method 1361-F or 2144-F for small and large vat fryers respectively. The measurement of energy savings for this measure is based on algorithms with key variables provided by manufacturer data or prescribed herein.

Algorithms

Savings = $(D*((P*E*(1/EFF_b - 1/EFFq)) + (I_b*(H-(P/Cap_b)) - I_q*(H-(P/Cap_q))))/C$

Definition of Variables

Savings = gross customer annual energy savings for the measure in ΔkWh for electric fryer, or $\Delta MMBTU$ for gas fryer.

D = Operating days per year

P = Average production per day (lb/day) (assume 150)

E = Energy to Food (Btu/lb) (assume 570)

EFF_b = Heavy Load Efficiency of Baseline Unit

EFF_q = Heavy Load Efficiency of Qualifying Unit

I_b = Idle Energy Rate of Baseline Unit (Btu/hr)

I_q = Idle Energy Rate of Qualifying Unit (Btu/hr)

H = Operating hours per day (assume 12)

Cap_b = Production Capacity of Baseline Unit (lb/hr)

Cap_q = Production Capacity of Qualifying Unit (lb/hr)

C = Conversion Factor (3,412 Btu/kWh or 1,000,000 Btu/MMBtu)

Baseline Condition

Electric fryers: $EFF_b = 0.75$

 $I_b = 3583 \text{ Btu/hr}$

 $Cap_b = 65 lb/hr$

Gas fryers: $EFF_b = 0.35$

 $I_b = 14000 \text{ Btu/hr}$ $Cap_b = 60 \text{ lb/hr}$

Measure Cost and Incentive Amount

The standardized cost and incentive amounts for this measure will be based on contractor bids in response to the forthcoming RFP planned for issuance in April 2009.

Source:

Savings algorithm, baseline values, assumed values and lifetimes developed from information on the Food Service Technology Center program's website, www.fishnick.com, by Fisher-Nickel, Inc. and funded by California utility customers and administered by Pacific Gas and Electric Company under the auspices of the California Public Utility Commission.

Electric and Gas Steamers

Energy efficient electric or natural gas steamers utilized in commercial food service applications which have performance rated in accordance with ASTM Standard Test Method 1484-F. The measurement of energy savings for this measure is based on algorithms with key variables provided by manufacturer data or prescribed herein.

Algorithms

Savings = $(D^*((P^*E^*(1/EFF_b - 1/EFFq)) + (I_b^*(H-(P/Cap_b)) - I_a^*(H-(P/Cap_a))))/C$

Definitions

Savings = gross customer annual energy savings for the measure in ΔkWh for electric steamer or $\Delta MMBTU$ for gas steamer.

D = Operating days per year

P = Average production per day (lb/day) (assume 100)

E = Energy to Food (Btu/lb) (assume 105)

EFF_b = Heavy Load Efficiency of Baseline Unit

EFF_q = Heavy Load Efficiency of Qualifying Unit

I_b = Idle Energy Rate of Baseline Unit (Btu/hr)

I_q = Idle Energy Rate of Qualifying Unit (Btu/hr)

H = Operating hours per day (assume 12)

Cap_b = Production Capacity of Baseline Unit (lb/hr)

Cap_q = Production Capacity of Qualifying Unit (lb/hr) C = Conversion Factor (3,412 Btu/kWh or 1,000,000 Btu/MMBtu)

Baseline Condition

Electric steamers: $EFF_b = 0.26$

 $I_b = 3412 \text{ Btu/hr}$ $Cap_b = 70 \text{ lb/hr}$

Gas steamers: $EFF_b = 0.15$

 $I_b = 11000 \text{ Btu/hr}$ $Cap_b = 65 \text{ lb/hr}$

Measure Cost and Incentive Amount

The standardized cost and incentive amounts for this measure will be based on contractor bids in response to the forthcoming RFP planned for issuance in April 2009.

Source

Savings algorithm, baseline values, assumed values and lifetimes developed from information on the Food Service Technology Center program's website, www.fishnick.com, by Fisher-Nickel, Inc. and funded by California utility customers and administered by Pacific Gas and Electric Company under the auspices of the California Public Utility Commission.

Electric and Gas Griddles

Energy efficient electric or natural gas griddles utilized in commercial food service applications which have performance rated in accordance with ASTM Standard Test Method 1275-F. The measurement of energy savings for this measure is based on algorithms with key variables provided by manufacturer data or prescribed herein.

<u>Algorithms</u>

Savings = $(D^*((P^*E^*(1/EFF_b - 1/EFFq)) + (I_b^*(H-(P/Cap_b)) - I_a^*(H-(P/Cap_a))))/C$

Definition of Variables

Savings = gross customer annual energy savings for the measure in ΔkWh for electric griddle or $\Delta MMBTU$ for gas griddle.

D = Operating days per year

P = Average production per day (lb/day) (assume 100)

E = Energy to Food (Btu/lb) (assume 475)

EFF_b = Heavy Load Efficiency of Baseline Unit

EFF_q = Heavy Load Efficiency of Qualifying Unit

I_b = Idle Energy Rate of Baseline Unit (Btu/hr)

I_q = Idle Energy Rate of Qualifying Unit (Btu/hr)

H = Operating hours per day (assume 12)

Cap_b = Production Capacity of Baseline Unit (lb/hr)

Cap_q = Production Capacity of Qualifying Unit (lb/hr)

C = Conversion Factor (3,412 Btu/kWh or 1,000,000 Btu/MMBtu)

Baseline Condition

Electric griddles: $EFF_b = 0.60$

 $I_b = 8189$ Btu/hr $Cap_b = 35$ lb/hr

Gas griddles: $EFF_b = 0.30$

 $I_b = 21000 \text{ Btu/hr}$ $Cap_b = 25 \text{ lb/hr}$

Measure Cost and Incentive Amount

The standardized cost and incentive amounts for this measure will be based on contractor bids in response to the forthcoming RFP planned for issuance in April 2009.

Source

Savings algorithm, baseline values, assumed values and lifetimes developed from information on the Food Service Technology Center program's website, www.fishnick.com, by Fisher-Nickel, Inc. and funded by California utility customers and administered by Pacific Gas and Electric Company under the auspices of the California Public Utility Commission.

Electric and Gas Ovens

Energy efficient electric or natural gas ovens of the following listed types utilized in commercial food service applications which have performance rated in accordance with the listed ASTM standards:

- Electric combination and convection ovens ASTM 1639-F
- Gas combination, convection ASTM 1639-F
- Gas conveyor and rack ovens ASTM 1817-F

The measurement of energy savings for this measure is based on algorithms with key variables provided by manufacturer data or prescribed herein.

Algorithms

Savings =
$$(D*((P*E*(1/EFF_b - 1/EFFq)) + (I_b*(H-(P/Cap_b)) - I_q*(H-(P/Cap_q))))/C$$

Definitions

Savings = gross customer annual energy savings for the measure in ΔkWh for electric oven or $\Delta MMBTU$ for gas oven.

D = Operating days per year

P = Average production per day (lb/day)

- Electric Combination Ovens = 200
- Gas Combination Ovens = 200
- Electric Convection Ovens = 100
- Gas Convection Ovens = 100
- Gas Conveyor Ovens = 300
- Gas Rack Ovens = 1200

E = Energy to Food (Btu/lb) (assume 250)

EFF_b = Heavy Load Efficiency of Baseline Unit

EFF_a = Heavy Load Efficiency of Qualifying Unit

I_b = Idle Energy Rate of Baseline Unit (Btu/hr)

I_q = Idle Energy Rate of Qualifying Unit (Btu/hr)

 \vec{H} = Operating hours per day (assume 12)

Cap_b = Production Capacity of Baseline Unit (lb/hr)

Cap_q = Production Capacity of Qualifying Unit (lb/hr)

C = Conversion Factor (3,412 Btu/kWh or 1,000,000 Btu/MMBtu)

Baseline Condition from which savings are calculated

Electric Combination Ovens: $EFF_b = 0.44$

 $I_b = 25590 \text{ Btu/hr}$

 $Cap_b = 80 lb/hr$

Gas Combination Ovens: $EFF_b = 0..35$

 $I_b = 28000 Btu/hr$

 $Cap_b = 80 lb/hr$

Electric Convection Ovens: $EFF_b = 0.65$

 $I_b = 6824 \text{ Btu/hr}$

 $Cap_b = 70 \text{ lb/hr}$

Gas Convection Ovens: $EFF_b = 0.30$

 $I_{b} = 18000 \text{ Btu/hr}$

 $Cap_b = 70 \text{ lb/hr}$

Gas Conveyor Ovens: $EFF_b = 0.20$

 $I_b = 70000 \text{ Btu/hr}$

 $Cap_b = 215 \text{ lb/hr}$

Gas Rack Ovens: $EFF_b = 0.30$

 $I_b = 65000 \text{ Btu/hr}$

 $Cap_b = 250 \text{ lb/hr}$

Measure Cost and Incentive Amount

The standardized cost and incentive amounts for this measure will be based on contractor bids in response to the forthcoming RFP planned for issuance in April 2009.

Source:

Savings algorithm, baseline values, assumed values and lifetimes developed from information on the Food Service Technology Center program's website, www.fishnick.com, by Fisher-Nickel, Inc. and funded by California utility customers and administered by Pacific Gas and Electric Company under the auspices of the California Public Utility Commission.

Occupancy Controlled Thermostats

The program has received a large amount of custom electric applications for the installation of Occupancy Controlled Thermostats in hotels, motels, and, most recently, university dormitories. Due to the number of applications, consistent incentive amounts (\$75 per thermostat) and

predictable savings of the technology TRC recommends that a prescriptive application be created for this technology.

Standard practice today is thermostats which are manually controlled by occupants to regulate temperature within a facility. An occupancy controlled thermostat is a thermostat paired with a sensor and/or door detector to identify movement and determine if a room is occupied or unoccupied. If occupancy is sensed by the sensor, the thermostat goes into an occupied mode (i.e. programmed setpoint). If a pre-programmed time frame elapses (i.e. 30 minutes) and no occupancy is sensed during that time, the thermostat goes into an unoccupied mode (e.g, setback setpoint or off) until occupancy is sensed again. This type of thermostat is often used in hotels to conserve energy.

The occupancy controlled thermostat reduces the consumption of electricity and/or gas by requiring less heating and/or cooling when a room or a facility is vacant or unoccupied.

Algorithms

```
Cooling Energy Savings (kWh) = (((T_c*(H+5)+S_c*(168-(H+5)))/168)

T_c)*(P_c*Cap_{hp}*12*EFLH_c/EER_{hp})

Heating Energy Savings (kWh) = (((T_h*(H+5)+S_h*(168-(H+5)))/168)-T_h)*(P_h*Cap_{hp}*12*EFLH_h/EER_{hp})

Heating Energy Savings (Therms) = (T_h-(T_h*(H+5)+S_h*(168-(H+5)))/168)*(P_h*Cap_h*EFLH_h/AFUE_h/100,000)
```

<u>Definition of Variables</u>

```
T_h = Heating Season Facility Temp. (°F)
```

 T_c = Cooling Season Facility Temp. (°F)

 S_h = Heating Season Setback Temp. (°F)

 S_c = Cooling Season Setup Temp. (°F)

H = Weekly Occupied Hours

Cap_{hp} = Connected load capacity of heat pump/AC (Tons) – Provided on Application.

Cap_h = Connected heating load capacity (Btu/hr) – Provided on Application.

EFLH_c = Equivalent full load cooling hours

 $EFLH_h = Equivalent full load heating hours$

 P_h = Heating season percent savings per degree setback

 P_c = Cooling season percent savings per degree setup

 $AFUE_h$ = Heating equipment efficiency – Provided on Application.

 EER_{hp} = Heat pump/AC equipment efficiency – Provided on Application

12 = Conversion factor from Tons to kBtu/hr to acquire consumption in kWh.

168 = Hours per week.

5 = Assumed weekly hours for setback/setup adjustment period (based on 1 setback/setup per day, 5 days per week).

Occupancy Controlled Thermostats

Component	Type	Value	Source
T_h	Variable		Application
T_{c}	Variable		Application
S_h	Fixed	T _h -5°	
S_c	Fixed	T_c+5°	
Н	Variable		Application; Default
			of 56 hrs/week
Caphp	Variable		Application
Cap _h	Variable		Application
EFLH _c	Fixed	381	1
EFLH _h	Fixed	900	PSE&G
P_h	Fixed	3%	2
P _c	Fixed	6%	2
AFUE _h	Variable		Application
EER _{hp}	Variable		Application

Sources:

- 1. JCP&L metered data from 1995-1999
- 2. ENERGY STAR Products website

Dual Enthalpy Economizers

Dual enthalpy economizers are used to control a ventilation system's outside air intake in order to reduce a facility's total cooling load. An economizer monitors the outside air to ensure that its temperature (sensible heat) and humidity (latent heat) are low enough to utilize outside air to provide cooling in place of the cooling system's compressor. This reduces the demand on the cooling system, lowering its usage hours, saving energy.

The measurement of energy savings associated with dual enthalpy economizers is based on algorithms with key variables provided through DOE-2 simulation modeling and ClimateQuest's economizer savings calculator. Savings are calculated per ton of connected cooling load. The baseline conditions are fixed damper for equipment under 5.4 tons and dry bulb economizer otherwise.

Algorithms

Energy Savings (kWh) = OTF*SF*Cap/Eff

Demand Savings (kW) = Savings/Operating Hours

Definition of Variables

OTF = Operational Testing Factor

SF = Approximate savings factor based on regional temperature bin data (assume 4576 for equipment under 5.4 tons where a fixed damper is assumed for the baseline and 3318 for larger equipment where a dry bulb economizer is assumed for the baseline). (Units for savings factor are in kWh x rated EER per ton of cooling or kWh*EER/Ton)

Cap = Capacity of connected cooling load (tons)

Eff = Cooling equipment energy efficiency ratio (EER)

Operating Hours = 4,438 = Approximate number of economizer operating hours

Component Value **Type** Source OTF Fixed 1.0 when operational testing is performed, 0.8 otherwise SF 4576 for equipment under 5.4 tons, 3318 otherwise Variable Cap **Application Application** Eff Variable **Operating Hours** Fixed

Duel Enthalpy Economizers

4.438

Sources:

- 1. DOE-2 Simulation Modeling
- 2. ClimateQuest Economizer Savings Calculator

Low Flow Devices

Low flow showerheads, faucet aerators and pre-rinse spray valves save water heating energy by reducing the total flow rate from water sources.

The measurement of energy savings associated with low flow devices is based on algorithms with key variables provided through Fisher-Nickel's Life Cycle cost calculators.

Algorithms

Savings = $(60*H*D*(F_{base}-F_{eff})*8.33*DT*(1/Eff))/C$

Definition of Variables

60 = Conversion from hours to minutes

H = Hours per day of device usage

D = Days per year of facility operation

 F_{base} = Baseline device flow rate (gal/m)

F_{eff} = Low flow device flow rate (gal/m)

 $8.33 = \text{Heat content of water } (\text{Btu/gal/}^{\circ}\text{F})$

DT = Difference in temperature between cold intake and output

Eff = Percent efficiency of water heating equipment

C = Conversion factor from Btu to Therms or kWh (100,000 for gas water heating (Therms), 3,413 for electric water heating (kWh))

Duel Enthalpy Economizers

Component	Type	Value	Source
Н	Fixed	3 for pre-rinse spray valves	1
Н	Fixed	20 minutes for showerheads	2
		30 minutes for aerators	
D	Variable		<u>Application</u>
F _{base}	Variable		<u>Application</u>
F _{eff}	Variable	Max of 1.0 gpm for lavatory	<u>Application</u>
		aerators, 2.2 for kitchen aerators	
		and 2.0 gpm for showerheads per	
		EPA's Water Sense Label	
DT	Fixed	50°F for showerheads and faucet	1
		aerators, 70°F for pre-rinse spray	
		valves	
Eff	Variable	default of 80% for gas water	<u>Application</u>
		heaters and 95% for electric water	
		heaters	

Sources:

- 1. Fisher-Nickel Life Cycle cost calculator
- 2. FEMP Cost Calculator located at http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/technologies/eep_faucets_showerheads_calc.html

Demand Control Ventilation Using CO₂ Sensors

Demand control ventilation (DCV) monitors indoor air CO₂ content as a result of occupancy production levels and uses this data to regulate the amount of outdoor air that is permitted for ventilation. In order to ensure adequate air quality, standard ventilation systems permit outside air based on estimated occupancy levels in CFM/occupant. However, during low occupancy hours, the space may become over ventilated due to decreased CO₂ levels. This air must be conditioned and, therefore, unnecessary ventilation results in wasted energy. DCV reduces unnecessary outdoor air intake by regulating ventilation based on actual CO₂ levels, saving energy. DCV is most suited for facilities where occupancy levels are known to fluctuate considerably.

The measurement of energy savings associated with DCV is based on hours of operation, occupancy schedule, return air enthalpy, return air dry bulb temperature, system air flow, outside air reduction, cooling system efficiency, and other factors. As a conservative simplification of complex algorithms, DCV is assumed to save 5% of total facility HVAC load in appropriate building types based on FEMP DCV documentation.

Algorithms

Electric Savings (kWh) = $0.05*HVAC_E$

Gas Savings (Therms) = $0.05*HVAC_G$

Definition of Variables

 $HVAC_E = Total electric HVAC consumption (kWh)$

 $HVAC_G = Total gas HVAC consumption (Therms)$

Demand Control Ventilation Using CO₂ Sensors

Component	Type	Value	Source
$HVAC_E$	Variable		Application
HVAC _G	Variable		Application

Pipe Insulation

Un-insulated hot water carrying pipes lose considerable heat to outside air due to high thermal conductivity. In order to reduce this heat loss, pipes can be covered with a layer of fiberglass insulation, which will reduce source heating demand, resulting in significant energy savings.

The measurement of energy savings associated with pipe insulation is based on the length of the supply pipe, pipe diameter, relative thermal conductivity of bare and insulated piping and the

temperature difference between supplied water and outside air temperature as indicated in the EPRI report referenced below. The baseline case is un-insulated copper pipe and the default proposed case is 0.5" of fiberglass insulation.

Algorithms

Energy Savings (kWh) = $(L*(HLC_{base}-HLC_{ee})/C)*\Delta T*8,760$

<u>Definition of Variables</u>

L = Length of pipe from water heating source to hot water application (ft)

HLC_{base} = Pipe heat loss coefficient by pipe diameter (baseline) (Btu/hr-°F-ft)

HLC_{ee} = Pipe heat loss coefficient by pipe diameter (proposed) (Btu/hr-°F-ft) C = Conversion from Btu to kWh or Therms (3,413 for kWh (Electric Water Heating), 100,000 for Therms (Gas Water Heating)

 ΔT = Average temperature difference between supplied water and outside air temperature (°F)

8,760 = Hours per year

Pipe Insulation

Component	Type	Value	Source
L	Variable		Application
HLC _{base}	Fixed	See Table Below	
HLC _{ee}	Fixed	See Table Below	
ΔΤ	Variable	Default is 65°F	EPRI Study

Pipe Heat Loss Coefficient Table

Pipe Diam. (in.)	HLC _{base}	HLC _{ee}
0.75	0.43	0.25
1.00	0.54	0.29
1.25	0.64	0.33
1.50	0.76	0.36
2.00	0.94	0.42
2.50	1.00	0.48
3.00	1.30	0.56
4.00	1.70	0.69

Source: Engineering Methods for Estimating the Impacts of Demand-Side Management Programs, Volume 2, EPRI, 1993

Cool Cities Program

Protocol

CITY green's energy conservation study utilizes methods developed by Jill Mahon of AMERICAN FORESTS, interpolated from research by Dr. Greg McPherson of the USDA Forest Service. The program estimates the energy conservation benefits of trees resulting from direct shading of residential buildings.

Trees are most effective when located to shade air conditioners, windows, or walls and when located on the side of the home receiving the most solar exposure in addition to other criteria. In many parts of the country the west side is most valuable, followed by the east and the south, although this ranking can change based on geographical considerations.

CITY green assigns each tree an energy rating, 1 through 5, based on location characteristics listed above and information about tree size and shape. For example, in many parts of the country, a large tree located near the west side of a building and shading an air conditioner or window would be assigned a maximum energy rating.

Each tree then is assumed to reduce a home's annual energy bill by a percentage associated with energy rank, which varies based on the climate being studied. The percentage savings produced by each tree around a home are multiplied by a home's average annual cooling cost (\$600.00 for New Jersey). CITY green adds the results together to produce the savings per home, which are in turn summed to estimate savings per site.

Methodology

The measurement plan for tree plantings for reducing energy use by shading communities is based on a randomly selected sample study area in each of the selected neighborhoods where trees were planted. A sample study area in the planted neighborhood is used due to the large volume of field data needed to calculate energy savings over time for the tree plantings. In the sample study area, averages are created to extrapolate savings over the planted areas within a municipality.

The sample study area is a single location randomly selected, which includes over 10% of the initial planting area within each municipality. The data within that sample study area are collected to run a growth model and then a tree energy savings model year by year after the initial year's calculations. Currently the best fitting model for modeling the tree planting energy savings over the Cool Cities Initiative planting areas is CITYgreen 5.4.

The program assigns an energy rating (0= No Savings, 5= Maximum Savings) to each tree that has been field verified and inventoried based on the following criteria:

- Distance from residential building structure
- Orientation to the building

• Ability to shade a window and/or an air conditioner

CITY green incorporates research from eleven cities distributed across the United States. Users are asked to identify their region of the United States; the program uses data from the nearest of those eleven cities. If data is available from more than one city within the region, the user is asked to identify which is closest to the project location.

The user is prompted to enter the annual cooling cost (\$600 for NJ). Multipliers associated with each energy rating (representing percent energy use-reduction) are assigned to each tree. Each home's annual energy use is multiplied by each associated tree's multiplier to produce an estimate of dollar and kilowatt savings per household, not including inflation.

Multipliers used in CITYgreen were interpolated from "Modeling Benefits and Costs of Community Tree Planting in 12 U.S. Cities" and "Chicago's Urban Forest Ecosystem: Results of the Chicago Urban Forest Climate Project."

Dr. McPherson's research found that a second tree located in an optimal location provides about 2/3 as much savings as the first. Therefore, when more than one tree is assigned a rating of 5 for a given home, only one tree is assumed to provide the full benefits: the rest are assumed to provide 2/3 of the equivalent of a number 5 energy rating.

CITY green's tree growth model was developed by AMERICAN FORESTS. The program "grows" the tree trunk diameter at breast-height (DBH), the tree height, and the tree canopy according to species and year of growth selected. CITY green also considers the area of the country your projection is in, since trees grow at different rates in different parts of the country. Currently modeling has been with Northeast setting. The program uses the following method, derived from Nowak, Susinni, Stevens, and Luley, to estimate growth:

Tree Growth Rate	Trunk DBH (In	nches/Year) Height (Inches/Year))
Slow-Growing Trees	0.1	1.0	
Medium-Growing Trees	0.25	1.5	
Fast-Growing Trees	0.5	3.0	

The height change is determined by multiplying the number of growth years by the height growth rate assigned to the species. The tree trunk diameter (DBH) changes are projected by adding the existing DBH (inches) to the number of growth years multiplied by the DBH growth rate assigned to the species.

A growth factor was derived for individual tree species based on the DBH and canopy area trends taken from AMERICAN FORESTS' composite tree species database of more than 13,000 trees. This growth factor is multiplied by the calculated tree trunk DBH growth for each species to estimate projected canopy radius and canopy area in square feet. By looking at the largest inventoried specimen from each species, a maximum potential growth has been determined for nearly all tree species in the CITYgreen species database. The canopy growth factor is based on a linear regression of canopy radius divided by tree trunk DBH.

To accumulate the energy savings, the energy savings model runs for one year. Then the growth model runs for one year and then the energy savings model runs for one year on the new growth projection, repeating this process for 30 years of growth. The process gives 31 year of savings, because the first year was before one year's growth.

The 31 years of energy savings are summed for the study area. To determine the energy savings over all the planting areas within a municipality, a ratio relationship is used between area and total savings.

Calculation for total energy savings

TES/SAMES = TPA/SA

(SAMES) TES/SAMES = (SAMES) TPA/SA

TES = (SAMES) TPA/SA

TES – Total Energy Savings SAMES – Sample Area Modeled Energy Savings SA – Sample Area TPA – Total Planting Area

Definition of Terms

Air conditioning unit – Any air conditioner unit below three stories

Average annual cooling cost – The average amount of energy used in one year to cool a home. Currently the Cool Cities Initiative is using \$600 per annual cooling cost.

Diameter at breast-height (DBH) – A standard measurement of the diameter of a tree trunk at 4.5 feet above the ground.

Initial planting area – The area that was first planted in a municipality.

Municipality – The controlling governing body of a selected area. This would be a city, town, township, borough, or village.

Window – A three-foot by four-foot window under three stories. If a window is much larger than this it is counted as two, for example a four-foot by six-foot window counts as two windows.

Year of growth – The projected growth in one year's time.

References

1. AMERICAN FORESTS 2002. <u>CITY green calculating the value of nature Version 5.0</u>. Washington, DC: AMERICAN FORESTS. Much of the information is directly from this source.

- 2. Energy Information Administration. "Method for Calculating Carbon Sequestration by Trees in Urban and Suburban Settings," Voluntary Reporting of Greenhouse Gases, September 1996. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Energy.
- 3. McPherson, E. Gregory, Nowak, David J. and Rowan A. Rowntree, eds. 1994. "Chicago's Urban Forest ecosystem: Results of the Chicago Urban Forest Climate Project." Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-186 Radnor, PA: USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station.
- 4. McPherson, Greg, Sacamano, Paul and Steve Wensman, 1993. "Modelling Benefits and Costs of Community Tree-Planting in 12 U.S. Cities." USDA Forest Service.
- 5. Nowak, David J., Stevens, Jack C., Luley, Christopher J. and Susan M. Susinni. 1996. "Effects of Urban Tree Management on Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide," Syracuse, NY: Unpublished manuscript, (To be submitted to the Journal of Arboriculture)
- 6. Wenger, Karl F., ed. 1984. <u>Forestry Handbook</u>. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Special acknowledgment to Dr. Nina Bassuk, Cornell University: Edward Macie, USDA Forest Service; Mickey Merrit, Texas Forest Service; Phillip Hoefer, Colorado State Forest Service; Gary Moll, AMERICAN FORESTS; and Bob Skiera for regional growth data.

Customer On-Site Renewable Energy Program (CORE) and Renewable Energy Incentive Program (REIP)

Protocols

The energy and demand impacts for customer sited generation systems participating in the CORE program are based on algorithms that estimate each systems annual energy production and coincident peak capacity production. Input data are based on fixed assumptions, engineering estimates and data supplied from the program's technical worksheets and inspection forms. The reported generation will be based on as installed conditions, as verified by site inspection documentation.

For solar electric generation, an industry standard calculation tool (PVWATTS from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory) is used for estimating PV system annual outputs.

For wind installations estimated annual energy output is calculated using approved wind resource data maps, wind speed at proposed hub height, and approved annual estimated power curves for each turbine.

For fuel cell and sustainable biomass projects the protocols include recommended formats but the energy and peak capacity for each project will be estimated on a case by case basis. This level of flexibility allows for the use of more detailed case specific engineering data in the protocol reporting.

For all technicalities, the customer sited generation protocols report the gross electrical generation from the system. Therefore, for example, the estimates for production from sustainable biomass projects do not account for estimated consumption of the applicable biomass fuel.

The following is an explanation of the algorithms used and the nature and source of all required input data.

Algorithms

Photovoltaic Systems

PVWATTS (Version 1) is used to estimate the energy generated by photovoltaic systems. PVWATTS was developed and is available through the Renewable Resource Data Center (RReDC). The RReDC is supported by the National Center for Photovoltaics (NCPV) and managed by the Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. The RReDC is maintained by the Distributed Energy Resources Center of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. The subroutines used to calculate the energy generation are based on information developed by Sandia National Laboratories. PVWATTS is available through the RReDC website, http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/codes_algs/PVWATTS/. Note that program generation algorithms have used Version 1 of PVWATTS.

The following input values are used by PVWATTS to estimate average annual energy production. These are collected and/or are available for each PV project on the PV technical worksheet and inspection documentation.

- System Rated Output (AC output based on DC output at Standard Rating Conditions and default DC/AC ratings)
- Fixed, Single or Double Axis Tracking
- Array Tilt angle (for fixed axis only)
- Array Azimuth (for fixed axis only)
- Weather data (based on closest weather station data for Version 1)

The Peak demand impact for photovoltaic systems is estimated separately from the annual energy output. Summer and winter peak impacts are based on research conducted by Richard Perez, of SUNY Albany, (http://www.nrel.gov/ncpv/documents/pv_util.html). The estimated summer effective load carrying capacity (ELCC) for New Jersey is 60% to 70%. A value of 65% is adopted for these protocols.

Summer Peak Impact (kW) = System Rated Output * Summer Effective Load Carrying Capacity (ELCC).

Winter Peak Impact (kW) = System Rated Output * Winter Effective Load Carrying Capacity (WELCC).

A summary of the input values and their data sources follows:

Photovoltaic Systems

Component	Type	Value	Sources
System Rated	Variable		Application Technical
Output (SRO)			Worksheet, and inspection
			documentation
Fixed, Single,	Variable		Application Technical
Double Axis			Worksheet, and inspection
tracking			documentation
Array Tilt	Variable		Application Technical
			Worksheet, and inspection
			documentation
Azimuth Angle	Variable		Application Technical
			Worksheet, and inspection
			documentation
Weather Data	Variable	City, State – four	Application Technical
		sites will be used	Worksheet – Version 2 if
		(Wilkes Barre PA,	adopted provides average
		Newark NJ,	resource data based on 40
		Philadelphia PA,	km square grid.
		and Atlantic City,	

Component	Type	Value	Sources
		NJ	
ELCC	Fixed	65%	(http://www.nrel.gov/ncpv/documents/pv_util.html)
WELCC	Fixed	8%	Monitored system data from White Plains NY

Wind Systems

Estimated annual energy output for wind systems will be based on the program's method for calculating the Expected Performance Based Buy-down for system rebates. These calculations are derived from industry data resources and calculation methods. Currently there is a lack of data on the peak impact of small wind systems in New Jersey and an estimate of 0% will be used. This value will be updated if supporting data are identified.

Annual Energy Output (kWh) is a function of:

- Average annual wind speed (using one of three approved wind resource maps) at 50 meters for the proposed site
- The proposed hub height for the turbine
- An approved annual energy output curve for each turbine

Data summary of the input values and their data sources follows:

Wind Systems

Component	Type	Value	Sources
Average annual	Variable		Application Technical
wind speed at 50			Worksheet, verified by
meters (m/s) or			checking against approved
(mph)			wind resource maps
Turbine hub height	Variable		Application Technical
as installed			Worksheet, verified by
			inspection documentation
Annual energy	Variable look		Annual energy output
output power curve	up based on		power curves based on
for proposed turbine	wind speed		manufacturer's published
	and hub		data. Values checked
	height at each		against industry
	location		experience and acceptance
			for use in other
			jurisdictions.
Summer Peak	Fixed	0%	Data on peak impact not
Impact			available at this time
Winter Peak Impact	Fixed	0%	Data on peak impact not
			available at this time

Sustainable Biomass

Estimated annual energy output and peak impacts for sustainable biomass systems will be based on case specific engineering estimates and manufacturer data.

SREC-Only Pilot

The measurement of energy and demand impacts for photovoltaic systems participating in the SREC-Only pilot will be based on the rules and protocols for metering, reporting and verification that are expected to be developed in 2008. Prior to formal adoption of these rules and protocols, methods similar to those identified above will be used to estimate each systems annual energy production and coincident peak capacity production. Reported generation will be based on as installed conditions, as verified by site inspection documentation.

Renewable Energy Program: Grid Connected

Energy savings/generation for projects installed pursuant to the Renewable Energy Program: Grid Connected will be determined on a case-by-case basis based on the information provided by project applicants, and inspection data for verification of as- installed conditions. The reported savings for each project participant in the REDI will be calculated and presented for review by the Office of Clean Energy.

Appendix A Measure Lives

NEW JERSEY STATEWIDE ENERGY-EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS Measure Lives Used in Cost-Effectiveness Screening July 2001

PROGRAM/Measure	Measure Life
Residential Programs	
Energy Star Appliances	
ES Refrigerator post 2001	17
ES Refrigerator 2001	17
ES Dishwasher	13
ES Clotheswasher	20
ES Dehumidifier	11
ES RAC	10
Energy Star Lighting	
CFL	6.4
Recessed Can Fluourescent Fixture	20
orchiere residential	10
Fixtures Other	20
Energy Star Windows	
NIN-heat pump	20
NIN-gas heat/CAC	20
NIN-gas No CAC	20
Nin-elec No AC	20
Vin-elec AC	20
Refrigerator/Freezer Retirement	
Refrigerator/Freezer retirement	8
Residential New Construction	
SF gas w/CAC	20
SF gas w/o CAC	20
SF oil w/CAC	20
SF all electric	20
ΓH gas w/CAC	20
ΓH gas w/o CAC	20
ΓΗ oil w/CAC	20
TH all electric	20
MF gas w/AC	20
MF gas w/o AC	20
MF oil w/CAC	20
//F all electric	20
ES Clotheswasher	20
Recessed Can Fluor Fixture	20
Fixtures Other	20
Efficient Ventilation Fans w/Timer	10
New Jersey Clean Energy Program Protocols to Measure Resource Savings December 2009	Page

PROGRAM/Measure	Measure Life
Residential Electric HVAC	
CAC 13	15
CAC 14	15
ASHP 13	15
ASHP 14	15
CAC proper sizing/install	15
CAC QIV	15
CAC Maintenance	7
CAC duct sealing	15
ASHP proper sizing/install	15
E-Star T-stat (CAC)	15
E-star T-stat (HP)	15
GSHP	30
CAC 15	15
ASHP 15	15
Residential Gas HVAC	
High Efficiency Furnace	20
High Efficiency Boiler	20
High Efficiency Gas DHW	10
E-Star T-stat	15
Low-Income Program	
Air sealing electric heat	17
Duct Leak Fossil Heat & CAC	15
typical fossil fuel heat	17
typical electric DHW pkg	10
typical fossil fuel DHW pkg	10
screw-in CFLs	6.4
high-performance fixtures	20
fluorescent torchieres	10
TF 14	20
TF 16	20
TF 18	20
SS 20	20
TF 21	20
SS 22	20
TF 25	20
audit fees	20
Attic Insulation- ESH	17
Duct Leak - ESH	15
T-Stat- ESH	5
HP charge air flow	8
electric arrears reduction	1
gas arrears reduction	1
Home Performance with ENERGY STAR	
Blue Line Innovations – PowerCost MonitorTM	5

Non-Residential Programs

C&I Construction

PROGRAM/Measure	Measure Life
Commercial Lighting — New	15
Commercial Lighting — Remodel/Replacement	15
ommercial Custom — New	18
ommercial Chiller Optimization	18
ommercial Unitary HVAC — New - Tier 1	15
ommercial Unitary HVAC — Replacement - Tier 1	15
ommercial Unitary HVAC — New - Tier 2	15
ommercial Unitary HVAC — Replacement Tier 2	15
ommercial Chillers — New	25
ommercial Chillers — Replacement	25
ommercial Small Motors (1-10 HP) — New or Replacement	20
ommercial Medium Motors (11-75 HP) — New or Replacement	20
ommercial Large Motors (76-200 HP) — New or Replacement	20
ommercial VSDs — New	15
ommercial VSDs — Retrofit	15
ommercial Comprehensive New Construction Design	18
ommercial Custom — Replacement	18
dustrial Lighting — New	15
dustrial Lighting — Remodel/Replacement	15
dustrial Unitary HVAC — New - Tier 1	15
dustrial Unitary HVAC — Replacement - Tier 1	15
dustrial Unitary HVAC — New - Tier 2	15
dustrial Unitary HVAC — Replacement Tier 2	15
dustrial Chillers — New	25
dustrial Chillers — Replacement	25
dustrial Small Motors (1-10 HP) — New or Replacement	20
dustrial Medium Motors (11-75 HP) — New or Replacement	20
dustrial Large Motors (76-200 HP) — New or Replacement	20
dustrial VSDs — New	15
dustrial VSDs — Retrofit	15
dustrial Custom — Non-Process	18
dustrial Custom — Process	10
mall Commercial Gas Furnace — New or Replacement	20
frared Heating	17
mall Commercial Gas Boiler — New or Replacement	20
mall Commercial Gas DHW — New or Replacement	10
&I Gas Absorption Chiller — New or Replacement	25
&I Gas Custom — New or Replacement (Engine Driven Chiller)	25
&I Gas Custom — New or Replacement (Gas Efficiency Measures) uilding O&M	18
&M savings	3
ompressed Air	O .
ompressed Air (GWh participant)	8
efrigeration	
/aporator Fan Control	10
poler and Freezer Door Heater Control	10
olyethylene Strip Curtains ood Service	4
ryers	12
lew Jersey Clean Energy Program rotocols to Measure Resource Savings	Page

	PROGRAM/Measure	Measure Life
Steamers		10
Griddles		12
Ovens		12